arXiv:1608.03548v1 [math.AT] 11 Aug 2016

LOOIJENGA LINE BUNDLES IN COMPLEX ANALYTIC ELLIPTIC COHOMOLOGY

CHARLES REZK

Abstract. We present a calculation, which shows how the moduli of complex analytic elliptic curves arises naturally from the Borel cohomology of an extended moduli space of $U(1)$-bundles on a torus which admit flat connection. More generally, we show how the analogous calculation, applied to a moduli space of $U(1)^d$-bundles on a torus equipped with vanishing degree 4 characteristic class, give rise to Looijenga line bundles. We then speculate on the relation of these calculations to complex analytic equivariant elliptic cohomology.

1. Introduction

In this note, we describe some aspects of how complex analytic elliptic curves arise naturally from the cohomology of certain spaces which parameterize principal bundles on orientable genus 1 surfaces. This suggests how elliptic cohomology emerges from certain derived complex analytic spaces associated to dimensional reduction applied to 2-dimensional field theories.

1.1. **Complex analytic elliptic cohomology.** Complex analytic equivariant elliptic cohomology was first defined by Grojnowski [Gro07][1]. In its most basic formulation, given

- a compact connected abelian Lie group G (i.e., $G \approx U(1)^d$), with cocharacter lattice $B = \mathrm{Hom}(U(1), G)$, and
- an elliptic curve $E_\tau = \mathbb{C}/\mathbb{Z}\tau + \mathbb{Z}$ for $\mathrm{Im}\,\tau > 0$,

he obtains an equivariant coholomolgy theory

$$\mathrm{Ell}^*_G \colon h\mathrm{Top}_G \to \mathrm{Coh}(E_\tau \otimes B)$$

on G-spaces, taking values in sheaves of coherent $\mathcal{O}_{E_\tau \otimes B}$-modules on the complex analytic abelian variety $E_\tau \otimes B \approx E_\tau^d$.

Grojnowski descibes his construction as "declocalized". That is, $\mathrm{Ell}^*_G(X)$ is produced by gluing together certain localizations of the values of Borel equivariant cohomology rings $H^*(X^H \times_G EG; \mathbb{C})$. Conceptually, one can regard this as a "reverse engineered" version of a character sheaf, by analogy with the interpretation of $\mathbb{C} \otimes K_G(X)$ as a sheaf over the multiplicative group $\mathbb{G}_m$, whose localizations at various points of $\mathbb{G}_m$ are computed, in terms of standard localization theorems, in terms of Borel cohomology (e.g., as in [BBM85]).

Grojnowski's theory has been extended and used to explain aspects of elliptic genera, notably the rigidity of the Ochanine genus [Ros01], and the modularity of the Witten genus [AB02], [And03]. A significant feature of this theory is the ablility to twist by a *level*, which in the above formulation is described in terms of tensoring sheaves with the *Looijenga line bundle* associated to a quadratic form on the cocharacter lattice B [Gro07, §3.3]. Looijenga's theta functions appear explicitly in the Kac character formula, which can be identified with the calculation of a Gysin map in elliptic cohomology [And00], [Gan14].

This construction of analytic elliptic cohomology, though productive, is somewhat ad hoc, and technically rather intricate. Furthermore, we should expect more from the theory. In particular,

Date: August 12, 2016.

The author was supported by NSF grant DMS-1406121.

[1]Originally circulated as a preprint in 1994; see [AM07].

(1) it should take values not (merely) in sheaves on a scheme or complex analytic space, but rather in sheaves on a *derived* scheme or complex analytic space, and
(2) it should in some sense classify *two-dimensional reductions* of certain kinds 2-dimensional field theories.

These should nowadays be much more approachable goals than was the case when Grojnowski originally defined the theory. For point (1), there is well-developed machinery for constructing cohomology theories from *derived* geometric objects [Lur07]. Point (2) is more difficult; however, there has been partial success in relating elliptic cohomology to field theory (following the program of Segal [Seg88]), and many features of the relationship are understood (see, e.g., [ST11]).

1.2. **This paper.** We are motivated by the observation that elliptic cohomology at the Tate curve should be associated to *one-dimensional reduction* of 1-dimensional field theories. Very roughly, Tate elliptic cohomology should arise as some kind of equivariant K-theory for extended loop groups. By the "extended loop group" $\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{ext}}G$ of G, we really mean the topological groupoid whose objects are principal G-bundles $P \to \mathbb{T}$, over a circle $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, and whose morphisms are maps $(P \to \mathbb{T}) \to (P' \to \mathbb{T})$ of G-bundles covering a rotation of the circle.

Our point of view is inspired by that of [Gan07], [Gan13], which considers the special case of finite groups G, in which case $\mathcal{L}^{\mathrm{ext}}G$ is a Lie groupoid, and thus comes with a well-defined equivariant K-theory. Furthermore, Kitchloo has defined a version of equivariant K-theory for certain Kac-Moody groups [Kit09]. Using this, he constructs [Kit14], for loop groups on simple and simply connected G, a version of G-equivariant elliptic cohomology associated to the Tate curve. It turns out that Looijenga line bundles arise naturally in this framework.

The purpose of this note is to sketch a variant of this idea, in which (i) the circle $\mathbb{T}$ is replaced with an orientable genus 1 surface Σ, and (ii) equivariant K-theory is replaced with Borel cohomology with complex coefficients. We restrict attention to the case of equivariance for tori ($G = U(1)^d$). Furthermore, we need to take into account information related to the existence of flat connections for principal G-bundles (which we will do in a fairly ad hoc way), so that we actually consider the cohomology of a homotopy theoretic moduli space of G-bundles on Σ which admit a flat connection.

The basic observation (§2) is the following: the universal complex analytic elliptic curve arises *naturally* from the cohomology of such moduli spaces. I have not seen this observation stated before.

In §3, replacing the classifying space BG with the fiber of a degree 4 characteristic class $\phi\colon BG \to K(\mathbb{Z}, 4)$, (i.e., considering G-bundles with a chosen trivialization of the characteristic class ϕ) leads naturally to Looijenga line bundles. This (3.10) is the main result of this paper.

In §4 we spectulate as to how these construction should give rise to equivariant (and even "2-equivariant") cohomology theories associated to objects in derived complex analytic geometry, following the pattern described in [Lur07]. We only sketch a picture here; setting this up formally would involve confronting a definition of derived complex analytic space, which is beyond the scope of this note.

In §5 we observe how isogenies of complex analytic elliptic curves fit naturally into this story, via finite covering maps of genus 1 surfaces.

The remainder of the paper (§6–§11) is taken up with the proof of the main result (3.10).

1.3. **Conventions.** At various points we need to consider the action of a group on another group. We will sometimes use the notation $g \propto h$ for such an action, so as to typographically distinguish it from gh a product of group elements.

1.4. **Acknowledgements.** I would like to thank Matt Ando and Dan Berwick-Evans for stimulating conversations which have helped direct the shape of this work.

2. Analytic moduli of elliptic curves, vs. homotopic moduli of genus 1 surfaces

2.1. **Moduli of elliptic curves over $\mathbb{C}$.** The classical uniformization theory of Weierstrass says that

(1) every elliptic curve is isomorphic, as a complex manifold, to $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda$ for some lattice $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}t_1 + \mathbb{Z}t_2$ for $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{C} \smallsetminus \{0\}$ with $\operatorname{Im} t_1/t_2 > 0$, with neutral element at the origin, and
(2) every map $\mathbb{C}/\Lambda \to \mathbb{C}/\Lambda'$ between such complex manifolds fixing the neutral element is given by multiplication by a complex scalar.

Thus, up to isomorphism such curves correspond to lattices in $\mathbb{C}$ up to scaling by a non-zero complex number.

This can be enriched to a desciption of the moduli stack of such curves. Let

$$\mathcal{X} := \{\, (t_1, t_2) \mid \operatorname{Im}(t_1/t_2) > 0 \,\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2.$$

We have a group action

$$SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{C}^\times \curvearrowright \mathcal{X}$$

by

$$A \propto (t_1, t_2) = (at_1 + bt_2, ct_1 + dt_2), \qquad A = \left(\begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix}\right) \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}), \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$\lambda \propto (t_1, t_2) = (\lambda t_1, \lambda t_2), \qquad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times. \tag{2.3}$$

Points of the quotient space $(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{C}^\times)\backslash\mathcal{X}$ are in bijective correspondence to homothety-equivalence classes ($\Lambda \sim \lambda\Lambda$) of lattices, i.e., to isomorphism classes of elliptic curves. It turns out that the moduli stack is in fact the stack quotient

$$\mathcal{M} := (SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{C}^\times)\backslash\backslash\mathcal{X}.$$

For our purposes, we do not need to worry about the general notion of stacks; it is sufficient to remember that information defining $\mathcal{M}$ is precisely contained in the group action.

2.4. *Remark.* The stack $\mathcal{M}$ is an orbifold, though the above does not present it as such. The continuous group $\mathbb{C}^\times$ acts freely on $\mathcal{X}$, with isomorphism $\mathbb{C}^\times\backslash\mathcal{X} \approx \mathcal{H} := \{\, \tau \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Im}\tau > 0 \,\}$ defined by $(t_1, t_2) \mapsto \tau = t_1/t_2$, and the residual $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$-action descends to an action $\mathcal{H}$ with finite isotropy, whence $\mathcal{M} \approx SL_2(\mathbb{Z})\backslash\backslash\mathcal{H}$.

2.5. **The universal elliptic curve.** The universal elliptic curve $\mathcal{E} \to \mathcal{M}$ can be modelled by a map $C \to \mathcal{X}$, with fiber $C_{(t_1,t_2)} = \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z}t_1 + \mathbb{Z}t_2)$ over $(t_1, t_2) \in \mathcal{X}$. Since the fibers are themselves quotients by a free action, we can decribe the universal curve as a stack quotient, via the action

$$(SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^2) \times \mathbb{C}^\times \curvearrowright \mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{C} = \{\, (t_1, t_2, y) \mid \operatorname{Im} t_1/t_2 > 0 \,\}$$

defined by

$$\begin{aligned} A \propto (t_1, t_2, y) &= (at_1 + bt_2, ct_1 + dt_2, y), & A &\in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}), \\ (m_1, m_2) \propto (t_1, t_2, y) &= (t_1, t_2, y + m_1t_1 + m_2t_2), & (m_1, m_2) &\in \mathbb{Z}^2, \\ \lambda \propto (t_1, t_2, y) &= (\lambda t_1, \lambda t_2, \lambda y), & \lambda &\in \mathbb{C}^\times. \end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Thus, the stack quotient

$$\mathcal{E} := ((SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^2) \times \mathbb{C}^\times)\backslash\backslash\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{C}$$

presents the universal curve over $\mathcal{M}$.

2.7. **Moduli of genus 1 surfaces.** Fix a smooth surface Σ, closed and orientable of genus 1. We write

$$\mathrm{Diff}(\Sigma) \supset \mathrm{Diff}_+(\Sigma) \supset \mathrm{Diff}_0(\Sigma),$$

for the groups of diffeomorphisms, orientation preserving diffeomorphisms, and diffeomorphisms homotopic to identity. The classifying space $B\,\mathrm{Diff}_+(\Sigma)$ can be viewed as a homotopy-theoretic moduli space of oriented genus 1-surfaces.[2]

For convenience in describing calculations, we fix $\Sigma := \mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$. Then $\mathrm{Diff}_+(\Sigma)$ is weakly equivalent, as a topological group, to $\mathbb{T}^2 \rtimes SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ (acting on $\mathbb{T}^2$ in the evident way from the left) [EE67].

The universal cover of $B\,\mathrm{Diff}_+(\Sigma)$ is then equivalent to $B\,\mathrm{Diff}_0(\Sigma) \approx B\mathbb{T}^2$, and furthermore carries an action by $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) = \pi_1 B\,\mathrm{Diff}_+(\Sigma)$. We may thus consider the induced action

$$SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \curvearrowright H^*(B\,\mathrm{Diff}_0(\Sigma); \mathbb{C}).$$

It is immediate that

$$H^*(B\,\mathrm{Diff}_0(\Sigma); \mathbb{C}) \approx \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2], \qquad t_1, t_2 \in H^2,$$

with $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ action given by the precisely the formula (2.2). The cohomology also carries a natural $\mathbb{C}^\times$ action, determined by the grading, which coincides with (2.3).

2.8. **Universal degree 0 line bundle on a genus 1 surface.** The space $\mathrm{Map}(\Sigma, BU(1))$ carries the universal example of a complex line bundle over Σ, which is the line bundle classified by the evaluation map $\Sigma \times \mathrm{Map}(\Sigma, BU(1)) \to BU(1)$. There is an evident connected subspace $\mathrm{Map}_0(\Sigma, BU(1))$, corresponding to line bundles L which are trivializable[3]. There is a weak equivalence

$$\mathrm{Map}_0(\Sigma, BU(1)) \approx U(1)^2 \times BU(1).$$

The action $\mathrm{Diff}_+(\Sigma) \curvearrowright \Sigma$ induces a left-action $\mathrm{Diff}_+(\Sigma) \curvearrowright \mathrm{Map}_0(\Sigma, BU(1))$ (by $\psi \propto \phi := \phi \circ \psi^{-1}$ for $\phi\colon \Sigma \to BU(1)$ and diffeomorphism ψ). We obtain a connected space

$$Y := \mathrm{Map}_0(\Sigma, BU(1))_{h\,\mathrm{Diff}_+(\Sigma)} = E\,\mathrm{Diff}_+(\Sigma) \times_{\mathrm{Diff}_+(\Sigma)} \mathrm{Map}_0(\Sigma, BU(1)),$$

which can be viewed as a homotopy theoretic moduli space for trivializable line bundles $L \to \Sigma$ over genus-1 suraces, where two such objects are viewed as equivalent when there is a diffeomorphism of surfaces covered by an equivalence of line bundles.

We see that $\pi_1 Y \approx SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^2$, and thus an induced action

$$SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \curvearrowright H^*(\widetilde{Y}; \mathbb{C})$$

on the universal cover $\widetilde{Y} \approx B\mathbb{T}^2 \times BU(1)$. In fact,

$$H^*(\widetilde{Y}; \mathbb{C}) \approx \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2, y], \qquad t_1, t_2, y \in H^2,$$

with $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ action given precisely by the first two formulas from (2.6). The grading of cohomology corresponds to the $\mathbb{C}^\times$-action from (2.6).

2.9. *Remark.* This calculation will be a simple case of a more general one given below. One way to see it is to use an explicit topological group model, e.g.,

$$\mathrm{Map}_0(\Sigma, BU(1)) \approx B\,\mathrm{Map}(\Sigma, U(1)) \approx B\Big(\mathbb{Z}^2 \times U(1)\Big)$$

so that $(m, \lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \times U(1)$ corresponds to $\mathbb{T}^2 \to U(1)$ given by $(s_1, s_2) \mapsto \lambda e^{2\pi i[m_1 s_1 + m_2 s_2]}$, and

$$\mathcal{P}_0^{\Sigma+}(BU(1)) \approx B\Big((SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{T}^2) \ltimes (\mathbb{Z}^2 \times U(1))\Big) \approx B\Big((SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^2) \ltimes (\mathbb{T}^2 \times U(1))\Big),$$

[2]Strictly speaking, diffeomorphisms are irrelevant for our purposes: in its place we could use the monoid of orientation preserving self-homotopy equivalences of Σ, which has the same homotopy type as $\mathrm{Diff}_+(\Sigma)$.

[3]Equivalently, line bundles which admit a flat connection.

with left action $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^2 \curvearrowright \mathbb{T}^2 \times U(1)$ by $(A, m) \propto (t, \lambda) = (At, \lambda e^{2\pi i[m_1 t_1 + m_2 t_2]})$ (where we write the group structure on $\mathbb{T}^2 = \mathbb{R}^2/\mathbb{Z}^2$ additively and on $U(1)$ multiplicatively).

3. Looijenga line bundles

3.1. **A geometric picture.** In the previous section, we have "constructed" the universal analytic elliptic curve using the cohomology of certain spaces associated to genus 1 surfaces. This suggests the following setup.

- Fix a simply connected space F.
- Let $\mathrm{Map}_0(\Sigma, F) \subseteq \mathrm{Map}(\Sigma, F)$ denote the path component containing the constant maps.
- Define
$$\mathcal{P}^{\Sigma+}(F) := \mathrm{Map}(\Sigma, F)_{h\,\mathrm{Diff}_+(\Sigma)},$$
$$\mathcal{P}_0^{\Sigma+}(F) := \mathrm{Map}_0(\Sigma, F)_{h\,\mathrm{Diff}_+(\Sigma)},$$
so that $\mathcal{P}_0^{\Sigma+}(F)$ is a connected component of $\mathcal{P}^{\Sigma+}(F)$.
- Let $\mathcal{P}_1^{\Sigma+}(F) \to \mathcal{P}_0^{\Sigma+}(F)$ be a universal cover[4], so that we obtain an action
$$\pi_1 \mathcal{P}_0^{\Sigma+}(F) \curvearrowright H^*(\mathcal{P}_1^{\Sigma+}(F); \mathbb{C}).$$
- Assuming this cohomology ring in concentrated in even degrees, we consider the *complex analytic space*
$$\mathcal{Y}_F := \mathrm{Spec}_{\mathrm{an}}\, H^*(\mathcal{P}_1^{\Sigma+}(F); \mathbb{C})$$
obtained from the cohomology ring. That is, it is the analyticification of the affine scheme over $\mathbb{C}$ associated to the ring $H^*(\mathcal{P}_1^{\Sigma+}(F); \mathbb{C})$.
- Observe that $\mathcal{Y}_* = \mathrm{Spec}_{\mathrm{an}}\, H^*(B\,\mathrm{Diff}_0(\Sigma), \mathbb{C}) \approx \mathrm{Spec}_{\mathrm{an}}\, \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2] \approx \mathbb{C}^2$. Form the fiber product
$$\mathcal{X}_F := \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}_*} \mathcal{Y}_F$$
in complex analytic spaces, where $\mathcal{X} = \{\, (t_1, t_2) \mid \mathrm{Im}\, t_1/t_2 > 0 \,\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2 = \mathcal{Y}_*$.
- This complex analytic space is equipped with an action $\pi_1(\mathcal{P}_0^{\Sigma+}(F)) \times \mathbb{C}^\times \curvearrowright \mathcal{X}_F$, where the $\mathbb{C}^\times$ action comes from the grading on cohomology. Form the stack quotient
$$\mathcal{M}_F := \big(\pi_1(\mathcal{P}_0^{\Sigma+}(F)) \times \mathbb{C}^\times\big) \backslash\backslash \mathcal{X}_F.$$

Altogether, we get a functor from the homotopy category of (some) simply connected spaces to complex analytic stacks. The discussion of the previous session shows that taking $F = *$ or $F = BU(1)$, gives the moduli stack $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}_*$ of elliptic curves and the universal curve $\mathcal{E} = \mathcal{M}_{BU(1)}$, respectively. We now try some other values of F.

3.2. **Case of $F = BU(1)^d$.** We see that
$$\pi_1 \mathcal{P}_0^{\Sigma+}(BU(1)^d) \approx SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{Z}^d)$$
and
$$H^*(\mathcal{P}_1^{\Sigma+}(BU(1)^d; \mathbb{C}) \approx H^*(B\mathbb{T}^2 \times BU(1)^d) \approx \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2, y_1, \ldots, y_d].$$
The resulting action
$$\pi_1 \mathcal{P}_1^{\Sigma+}(BU(1)^d) \curvearrowright H^*(\mathcal{P}_1^{\Sigma+}(BU(1)^d); \mathbb{C})$$
is then described much as in (2.6), except that we have
$$m \propto (t_1, t_2, y) = (t_1, t_2, y + m_1 t_1 + m_2 t_2), \qquad m = (m_1, m_2) \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{Z}^d) \approx (\mathbb{Z}^d)^2,$$

[4]We take the basepoint of $\mathrm{Map}_0(\Sigma, F)$ to be any constant map $\Sigma \to F$; as the space of such constant maps is simply connected as F is, there is no essential basepoint-dependent ambiguity arising from this choice. A more careful formulation regards the construction of universal cover of a space as a functor on its fundamental groupoid.

where $y = (y_1, \dots, y_d)$. That is, the associated geometric object $\mathcal{M}_{BU(1)^d} \to \mathcal{M}$ is precisely the d-fold fiber product of $\mathcal{E}$ over $\mathcal{X}$.

In particular, the group multiplication $BU(1) \times BU(1) \to BU(1)$ gives rise to the group law on $\mathcal{E}$.

3.3. *Remark.* We can give a more geometric interpretation of these spaces, suggesting how these notions might generalize from $U(1)^d$ to other groups, in terms of existence of flat connections. The subspace $\operatorname{Map}_0(\Sigma, BU(1)^d) \subset \operatorname{Map}(\Sigma, BU(1)^d)$ is a homotopy theoretic moduli space of bundles which admit a flat connection; in this case, the only such $U(1)^d$-bundles are trivial. The space $\mathcal{P}_0^{\Sigma+}(BU(1)^d)$ is the homotopy-theoretic quotient of this by the action of $\operatorname{Diff}_+(\Sigma)$.

We have that $\operatorname{Map}_0(\Sigma, BU(1)^d) \approx B\operatorname{Map}(\Sigma, U(1)^d)$, the classifying space of the gauge group of the trivial bundle. Its universal cover can be identified with the classifying space of the identity component $\operatorname{Map}_0(\Sigma, U(1)^d)$, which can be thought of as the space of automorphisms $f\colon \Sigma\times U(1)^d \to \Sigma \times U(1)^d$ of the trivial bundle such that the bundle $P_f \to \Sigma \times S^1$ formed by the clutching construction applied to f itself admits a flat connection. Likewise, $\mathcal{P}_1^{\Sigma+}(BU(1)^d) \approx B\big(\operatorname{Diff}_0(\Sigma) \ltimes \operatorname{Map}_0(\Sigma, U(1)^d)\big)$, the subgroup of automorphisms f of the map $\Sigma\times U(1) \to \Sigma$ such that the bundle $P_f \to \Sigma_f$ obtained by forming mapping cylinders admits a flat connection.

3.4. **Case of $F = K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$.** We have that

$$\pi_1\mathcal{P}_0^{\Sigma+}(K(\mathbb{Z},3)) \approx SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{Z}$$

and

$$H^*(\mathcal{P}_1^{\Sigma+}(K(\mathbb{Z},3)); \mathbb{C}) \approx \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2, x_1, x_2]/(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2).$$

The resulting action

$$\pi_1\mathcal{P}_0^{\Sigma+}(F) \times \mathbb{C}^\times \curvearrowright H^*(\mathcal{P}_1^{\Sigma+}(K(\mathbb{Z},3); \mathbb{C})$$

is described by

$$\begin{aligned} n \propto (t_1, t_2, x_1, x_2) &= (t_1, t_2, x_1 - nt_2, x_2 + nt_1) && n \in \mathbb{Z},, \\ A \propto (t_1, t_2, x_1, x_2) &= (at_1 + bt_2, ct_1 + dt_2, dx_1 - cx_2, -bx_1 + ax_2), && A \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}), \\ \lambda \propto (t_1, t_2, x_1, x_2) &= (\lambda t_1, \lambda t_2, \lambda x_1, \lambda x_2), && \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times. \end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

The associated geometric object is

$$\mathcal{X}_{K(\mathbb{Z},3)} = \{\, (t, x) \mid t_1x_2 + t_2x_2 = 0,\ \operatorname{Im} t_1/t_2 > 0 \,\} \subset \mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{C}^2,$$

which sits over $\mathcal{X}$. It is evidently a trivial line bundle over $\mathcal{X}$, via the nowhere vanishing section $(t_1, t_2) \mapsto (t_1, t_2, -t_2, t_1)$. The action $\mathbb{Z} \curvearrowright \mathcal{X}_{K(\mathbb{Z},3)}$ is fiber-by-fiber, via translation along this section, and so acts freely. Thus

$$\mathbb{Z}\backslash\backslash\mathcal{X}_{K(\mathbb{Z},3)} \approx \mathbb{Z}\backslash\mathcal{X}_{K(\mathbb{Z},3)} \approx \mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{C}^\times.$$

Explicitly, $\mathbb{Z}\backslash\mathcal{X}_{K(\mathbb{Z},3)} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ is given by

$$(t_1, t_2, x_1, x_2) \mapsto (t_1, t_2, e^{2\pi i(x_1/t_2)}).$$

The $SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ action descends to an action on $\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{C}^\times$ which acts trivially on the second factor. In other words,

$$\mathcal{M}_{K(\mathbb{Z},3)} \approx \mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{C}^\times.$$

It is naturally a group object over $\mathcal{M}$, via the group structure on $K(\mathbb{Z}, 3)$.

3.6. *Remark.* This will follow from the same proof as the general theorem (3.10) below. To see how it arises, consider the Serre spectral sequence for $\operatorname{Map}_0(\Sigma, K(\mathbb{Z},3)) \to \mathcal{P}_1^{\Sigma+}(K(\mathbb{Z},3)) \to B\operatorname{Diff}_0(\Sigma)$, which has $E_2 = \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2] \otimes \mathbb{C}[x_1, x_2, \epsilon]$, with $|\epsilon| = (0, 3)$. The only differential is $d_2(\epsilon) = \pm(t_1x_1 + t_2x_2)$. The terms $(\dots, \cdots - nt_2, \cdots + nt_1)$ in the first line of (3.5) ultimately derive from the isomorphism $H_1\mathbb{T}^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1\mathbb{T}^2$ adjoint to the Pontryagin product on $H_*\mathbb{T}^2$.

3.7. **Quadratic functions.** Let B and C be finitely generated free abelian groups. A **quadratic function** $\phi\colon B \to C$ is a function such that

- $\beta(b,b') := \phi(b+b') - \phi(b) - \phi(b')$ is bilinear, and
- $\phi(nb) = n^2\phi(b)$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

The symmetric bilinear form $\beta\colon B \otimes B \to C$ is called the **Hessian form** of ϕ. Note that $\phi(b) = \frac{1}{2}\beta(b,b)$, so β determines ϕ.

Let $\Gamma_2 B$ be the second degree part of the divided power algebra on B; since B is 2-torsion free, $\Gamma_2 B \approx (B\otimes B)^{\Sigma_2}$. The function $\gamma_2\colon B \to \Gamma_2 B$ given by $b \mapsto b\otimes b$ is the universal quadratic function out of B, so that

$$\mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma_2 B, C) \xrightarrow[\sim]{\widetilde{\phi}\mapsto\widetilde{\phi}\circ\gamma_2} \{\text{quadratic } B \xrightarrow{\phi} C\}$$

is a bijection. We will use the notation $\widetilde{\phi}$ for the homomorphism associated to a quadratic function ϕ.

A **bilinear extension** of ϕ is any bilinear (but not necessarily symmetric) map $\omega\colon B \times B \to C$ such that $\phi(b) = \omega(b,b)$. Such extensions always exist (because the exact sequence $0 \to \Gamma_2 B \to B \otimes B \to \Lambda^2 B \to 0$ splits), and any two extensions differ by an alternating form.

In terms of a choice of coordinates $B \approx \mathbb{Z}^d$, we have

$$\phi(y) = \tfrac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j} c_{ij} y_i y_j, \qquad \beta(y,y') = \sum_{i,j} c_{ij} y_i y'_j, \qquad \omega(y,y') = \sum_{i,j} d_{ij} y_i y'_j, \tag{3.8}$$

where (c_{ij}) is a symmetric integer matrix with $c_{ii} \in 2\mathbb{Z}$, and (d_{ij}) any integer matrix such that $c_{ij} = d_{ij} + d_{ji}$.

3.9. **Case of $F =$ fiber of $K(\mathbb{Z}^d,2) \to K(\mathbb{Z},4)$.** Fix a finitely generated free abelian group $B \approx \mathbb{Z}^d$. Consider a map $K(B,2) \to K(\mathbb{Z},4)$, corresponding to an element

$$\widetilde{\phi} \in H^4(K(B,2);\mathbb{Z}) \approx \mathrm{Sym}^2 H^2(K(B,2);\mathbb{Z}) \approx \mathrm{Hom}(\Gamma_2 B, \mathbb{Z}).$$

We write $\phi\colon B \to \mathbb{Z}$ for the associated quadratic function.

3.10. **Theorem.** *Let F be the homotopy fiber of a map $K(\mathbb{Z}^d,2) \to K(\mathbb{Z},4)$ associated to a quadratic function ϕ with Hessian form β, and choose a bilinear extension ω of ϕ.*

(1) *We have*

$$\pi_1 \mathcal{P}_0^{\Sigma+}(F) \approx SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes E,$$

where E is a central extension

$$0 \to \mathbb{Z} \to E \to \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{Z}^d) \to 0, \tag{3.11}$$

such that there exists a bijection of sets $E = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^2,\mathbb{Z}^d) \times \mathbb{Z}$ so that the group law takes the form

$$(m_1,m_2,n)\cdot(m_1',m_2',n') = \Big(m_1+m_1', m_2+m_2', n+n' + \big(\omega(m_1,m_2') - \omega(m_2,m_1')\big)\Big)$$

where $n,n' \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $m,m' \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^2,\mathbb{Z}^d) \approx (\mathbb{Z}^d)^2$.

The group $SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ acts on E (from the left) by

$$A \propto (m_1,m_2,n) = (dm_1 - cm_2, -bm_1 + am_2, n).$$

(2) *We have*

$$H^*(\mathcal{P}_1^{\Sigma+}(F);\mathbb{C}) \approx \mathbb{C}[t_1,t_2,y_1,\dots,y_d,x_1,x_2]/(\phi(y) + (t_1x_1 + t_2x_2)), \qquad t_i, y_j, x_k \in H^2.$$

(3) *The action* $\pi_1\mathcal{P}_0^{\Sigma+}(F) \curvearrowright H^*(\mathcal{P}_1^{\Sigma+}(F);\mathbb{C})$ *is given (in terms of the description in (1)) by*

$$\begin{aligned} n \propto (t,y,x) &= (t_1, t_2, y, x_1 - nt_2, x_2 + nt_1), && n \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ m \propto (t,y,x) &= (t, y + mt, x - \beta(y,m) - \omega(mt,m)) && m \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{Z}^d), \\ A \propto (t,y,x) &= (at_1 + bt_2, ct_1 + dt_2, y, dx_1 - cx_2, -bx_1 + ax_2), && A \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \end{aligned} \tag{3.12}$$

where $y = (y_1, \dots, y_d)$, $t = (t_1, t_2)$, $x = (x_1, x_2)$.

3.13. *Remark.* The second line of (3.12) is in compressed form. In full it means

$$\begin{aligned} (m_1, m_2) \propto (t,y,x) = (&t_1, t_2, y + m_1 t_1 + m_2 t_2, \\ &x_1 - \beta(y, m_1) - \omega(m_1 t_1 + m_2 t_2, m_1), \\ &x_2 - \beta(y, m_2) - \omega(m_1 t_1 + m_2 t_2, m_2)), \end{aligned} \tag{3.14}$$

where $m = (m_1, m_2) \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{Z}^d) \approx (\mathbb{Z}^d)^2$.

3.15. *Remark.* Up to isomorphism, the central extension (3.11) depends only on the antisymmetrization of the 2-cocycle $\gamma(m, m') = \omega(m_1, m_2') - \omega(m_2, m_1')$, which is $\gamma_{\mathrm{antisym}}(m, m') = \beta(m_1, m_2') - \beta(m_2, m_1')$, and thus depends only on ϕ, not on ω.

The corresponding geometric object $\mathcal{X}_F \subseteq \mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{C}^d \times \mathbb{C}^2$ is the locus of $t_1 x_1 + x_2 t_2 = -\phi(y)$, subject to $\mathrm{Im}\, t_1/t_2 > 0$. The quotient $\mathbb{Z}\backslash\mathcal{X}_F$ is a principal $\mathbb{C}^\times$-bundle over $\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{C}^d$. In other words, $\mathcal{M}_F$ is the total space of a principal $\mathbb{C}^\times$-bundle over $\mathcal{E}^d$.

In fact, let us consider the quotient of $\mathcal{X}_F$ under the free action by $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{C}^\times$. Explicitly,

$$(\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{C}^\times)\backslash\mathcal{X}_F \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{H} \times \mathbb{C}^d \times \mathbb{C}^\times$$

is given by

$$(t_1, t_2, y_1, \dots, y_d, x_1, x_2) \mapsto (\tfrac{t_1}{t_2}, \tfrac{y_1}{t_2}, \dots, \tfrac{y_d}{t_2}, e^{2\pi i (x_1/t_2)}) = (\tau, z_1, \dots, z_d, \lambda).$$

The π_1-action descends to an action by $\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{Z}^d) \rtimes SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$ on the quotient, given by

$$\begin{aligned} m \propto (\tau, z, \lambda) &= (\tau, z + m_1\tau + m_2, \lambda e^{2\pi i[-\beta(z,m_1) - \phi(m_1)\tau]}), && m \in \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}^2, \mathbb{Z}^d), \\ A \propto (\tau, z, \lambda) &= (A\tau, (c\tau + d)^{-1} z, \lambda e^{2\pi i[c(c\tau+d)^{-1}\phi(z)]}), && A \in SL_2(\mathbb{Z}), \end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

where $A\tau = (a\tau + b)/(c\tau + d)$. This describes the principal $\mathbb{C}^\times$-bundle over $\mathcal{E}^{\times d}$ whose associated line bundle has as sections $\theta(\tau, z)$ such that

$$\theta(\tau, z + m_1\tau + m_2) = \theta(\tau, z)\, e^{2\pi i[-\beta(z,m_1) - \phi(m_1)\tau]}, \qquad \theta(A\tau, (c\tau + d)^{-1} z) = \theta(\tau, z)\, e^{2\pi i[c(c\tau+d)^{-1}\phi(z)]}.$$

In other words, we obtain the *Looijenga line bundle* associated to the quadratic form ϕ [Loo76].

3.17. *Remark.* Suppose $\phi\colon \mathbb{Z}^d \to \mathbb{Z}$ is a non-degenerate quadratic function. Then with our conventions, the line bundle L_ϕ associated to ϕ, admits a non-trivial *holomorphic* section over C_τ^d (for any chosen $C_\tau := \mathbb{C}/(\mathbb{Z}\tau + \mathbb{Z})$) if and only if ϕ is *positive definite*.

To see this, we use [Mum70, I.2 and I.3]. In the notation of [Mum70, I.2, p. 15–16; I.3, p. 24–25], the line bundle $L_\phi|C_\tau^d$ is described by a 1-cocycle e on $U = \mathbb{Z}^d\tau + \mathbb{Z}^d \subseteq \mathbb{C}^d$ with coefficients in holomorphic functions $\mathbb{C}^d \to \mathbb{C}^\times$, given by

$$e_u(z) = e^{2\pi i f_u(z)}, \qquad f_{m_1\tau + m_2}(z) = -\beta(z, m_1) - \tfrac{1}{2}\beta(m_1, m_1)\tau, \qquad m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}^d.$$

By [Mum70, I.2, p. 18, Proposition],

$$E(u, u') := f_{u'}(z + u) + f_u(z) - f_u(z + u') - f_{u'}(z), \quad \text{any } z \in \mathbb{C}^d,$$

defines an alternating 2-form $E\colon U \times U \to \mathbb{Z}$ which represents the chern class of $L_\phi|C_\tau^d$. We calculate that in our case,

$$E(m_1\tau + m_2, m_1'\tau + m_2) = \beta(m_1, m_2') - \beta(m_2, m_1').$$

Extend E to an $\mathbb{R}$-linear form $\mathbb{C}^d \times \mathbb{C}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ and set $H(x,y) := E(ix,y) + iE(x,y)$. Then H is a Hermitian form with $\operatorname{Im} H = E$. By ([Mum70, I.3, p. 26], proposition and preceding discussion), if H is non-degenerate, then $L_\phi|C^d_\tau$ admits non-zero holomorphic sections if and only if H is positive definite, in which case $\dim H^0(C^d_\tau, L_\phi|C^d_\tau) = \sqrt{\det E}$ (express E as a matrix using a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of U). We calculate that in our case,

$$H(x,x) = (\operatorname{Im}\tau)^{-1}\beta(x,\overline{x}), \qquad x \in \mathbb{C}^d.$$

As $\operatorname{Im}\tau > 0$ and $\beta(x,y) = \sum c_{ij}x_iy_j$ is a symmetric form on $\mathbb{C}^d$ with $c_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z} \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, we see that H is non-degenerate/positive definite on $\mathbb{C}^d$ if and only if β is non-degenerate/positive definite on $\mathbb{R}^d$, and if so we have $\sqrt{\det E} = \det(c_{ij})$.

3.18. *Remark.* For $\phi\colon B \approx \mathbb{Z}^d \to \mathbb{Z}$ positive definite, sections θ_u of $L_\phi|C^d_\tau$ are given by $\theta_u(\tau,z) = \sum_{v\in B} e^{2\pi i[-\beta(z,u+v)+\phi(u+v)\tau]}$ for $u \in B\otimes\mathbb{R}$ such that $\beta(u,B) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$, [Loo76, §4].

3.19. **Proof of the theorem.** The proof of (3.10), and also the preceding results, takes up the later sections of the paper (§§6–11). It is entirely calculational, and amounts to completely describing the homotopy type of the spaces $\mathcal{P}_0^{\Sigma+}(F)$. In particular, the key is to compute all Whitehead products in the homotopy groups of this space.

Our calculations will use models for F as BG for a suitable topological group G. We note that one can instead regard F as the classifying space of a *Lie 2-group*, specifically as a 2-group extension as considered in [Gan15]. I suspect that using such 2-group methods would lead to a more informative proof of the results shown here.

4. A derived interpretation

In this section, I'll briefly outline how the derived analogue of the constructions of the previous sections should produce a (possibly universal) family of derived complex analytic elliptic curves, as well as derived versions of Looijenga line bundles. From this, one expects a corresponding family of elliptic cohomology theories taking values in sheaves on the derived object, along the lines of [Lur07].

This section is speculative. A proper treatment requires machinery for derived complex analytic geometry here, which is a matter beyond my competence. Thus the assertions in this section should be regarded as statements to be realized as theorems once paired with a genuine derived geometric theory, perhaps that described in [Lur11], [Por15]. I'll write $\mathrm{An}^{\mathrm{der}}$ for this putative category of derived analytic stacks.

4.1. **A derived construction.** Given a simply connected space F, and a genus 1 surface Σ, we as before consider the space $\mathcal{P}^{\Sigma+}(F)$, its "basepoint component" $\mathcal{P}_0^{\Sigma+}(F)$, and its universal cover[5] $\mathcal{P}_1^{\Sigma+}(F)$.

For a space X, let $C^*(X;\mathbb{C})$ denote a functorial commutative dga model for the cochains on X with $\mathbb{C}$ coefficients; e.g., we can take C^*X to be the PL-de Rham forms on X.

Fix a commutative dga[6] $\mathbb{C}[u^{\pm}]$, where $|u| = -2$ with that $du = 0$. We may thus consider the extended cochain algebra $A^*(X) := C^*(X;\mathbb{C}) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \mathbb{C}[u^{\pm}]$. This admits an evident grading coaction by the Hopf algebra $\mathbb{C}[\lambda^{\pm}]$, $|\lambda| = 0$, so that $u \mapsto \lambda \otimes u$.

We assume the existence of a *derived* analyticification functor $\mathrm{Spec}^{\mathrm{der}}_{\mathrm{an}}$, which takes as input a commutative dga A^* over $\mathbb{C}$, and gives as output a derived complex analytic space $\mathrm{Spec}^{\mathrm{der}}_{\mathrm{an}} A^* = (X,\mathcal{O})$. We assume that, the underlying ordinary complex analytic space $(X, H^0(\mathcal{O}))$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{Spec}_{\mathrm{an}} H^0A^*$.

[5]To be careful about basepoints, we should really regard the universal cover of a space X not as a single space, but rather as a functor from the fundamental groupoid of X to spaces.

[6]We use cohomological grading here.

Given this, we can define

$$\mathcal{Y}_F^{\mathrm{der}} := \operatorname{Spec}_{\mathrm{an}}^{\mathrm{der}} A^*(\mathcal{P}_1^{\Sigma+}(F)).$$

As

$$\mathcal{Y}_*^{\mathrm{der}} = \operatorname{Spec}_{\mathrm{an}}^{\mathrm{der}} A^*(\mathcal{P}_1^{\Sigma+}(*)) \approx \operatorname{Spec}_{\mathrm{an}}^{\mathrm{der}} A^*(B\operatorname{Diff}_0(\Sigma)) \approx \operatorname{Spec}_{\mathrm{an}}^{\mathrm{der}}\big(\mathbb{C}[t_1', t_2', u^{\pm}], d \equiv 0\big),$$

(with $|t_i'| = 2$, $|u| = -2$) has underlying space $\mathcal{Y}_* \approx \operatorname{Spec}_{\mathrm{an}} \mathbb{C}[t_1, t_2] = \mathbb{C}^2$ (where $t_i = t_i' u$), we can form

$$\mathcal{X}_F^{\mathrm{der}} := \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}_*} \mathcal{Y}_F^{\mathrm{der}},$$

where $\mathcal{X} = \{\, (t_1, t_2) \mid \operatorname{Im} t_1/t_2 > 0 \,\} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$.

The resulting object $\mathcal{X}_F^{\mathrm{der}}$ carries an action by $\pi_1 \mathcal{P}_0^{\Sigma+}(F) \times \operatorname{Spec}_{\mathrm{an}}^{\mathrm{der}} \mathbb{C}[\lambda^{\pm}]$. The action of the fundamental group is by functoriality, while $\operatorname{Spec}_{\mathrm{an}}^{\mathrm{der}} \mathbb{C}[\lambda^{\pm}]$ acts on $\operatorname{Spec}_{\mathrm{an}}^{\mathrm{der}} \mathbb{C}[u^{\pm}]$ via the grading action. Take $\mathcal{M}_F^{\mathrm{der}}$ to be the stack quotient

$$\mathcal{M}_F^{\mathrm{der}} := \big(\pi_1 \mathcal{P}_0^{\Sigma+}(F) \times \operatorname{Spec}_{\mathrm{an}}^{\mathrm{der}} \mathbb{C}[\lambda^{\pm}]\big) \backslash\backslash \mathcal{X}_F^{\mathrm{der}}.$$

This is the putative construction of a derived geometric object from F.

4.2. **An oriented derived analytic elliptic curve and analytic elliptic cohomology.** Let $\mathcal{C}_2 \subset \mathrm{Top}$ be the full subcategory consisting of spaces which are weakly equivalent to $K(\mathbb{Z}^d, 2)$ for some integer d. We may restrict the above construction to spaces F in this category, obtaining

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{der}} \colon \mathcal{C}_2 \to \mathrm{An}^{\mathrm{der}}_{/\mathcal{M}_*^{\mathrm{der}}}.$$

It is evident from the calculations we have presented that this functor preserves finite products. It thus should provide an example of a **preoriented** abelian group object in derived analytic stacks over $\mathcal{M}_*^{\mathrm{der}}$, in the general sense of [Lur07, §3.3]. This preorientation will have the form $BU(1) \otimes \mathcal{M}_*^{\mathrm{der}} \to \mathcal{M}_{BU(1)}^{\mathrm{der}}$, and is expected to be an **orientation**, i.e., gives an identification of the formal completion of the identity section of $\mathcal{M}_{BU(1)}^{\mathrm{der}} \to \mathcal{M}_*^{\mathrm{der}}$.

The formalism described in [Lur07, §§3-4] should then give rise to equivariant elliptic cohomology theories.

4.3. **2-equivariant cohomology.** It is reasonable, given the calculations presented above, to extend the above derived construction to the full subcategory $\mathcal{C}_{2,3} \subset \mathrm{Top}$ of spaces which are extensions of a $K(\mathbb{Z}^d, 2)$ by a $K(\mathbb{Z}^e, 3)$. This would appear to provide a story which is entirely compatible with the notion of "2-equivariant elliptic cohomology" as sketched in [Lur07, §5.1].

5. Isogenies

We describe how, according to the picture of the previous sections, finite coverings of genus 1 surfaces correspond to isogenies of elliptic curves.

Fix an orientation preserving (and smooth) finite covering map $f \colon \Sigma' \to \Sigma$ between two surfaces. Let $\operatorname{Diff}_+(f) \subset \operatorname{Diff}_+(\Sigma) \times \operatorname{Diff}_+(\Sigma')$ denote the group of pairs of diffeomorphisms compatible with f. Note that each of the projections $\operatorname{Diff}_+(\Sigma) \leftarrow \operatorname{Diff}_+(f) \to \operatorname{Diff}_+(\Sigma')$ are injective, by the usual lifting property of covering spaces.

We can form a diagram as follows

(5.1)
$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathcal{P}_0^{\Sigma+}(F) & \longleftarrow & s^*\mathcal{P}_0^{\Sigma+}(F) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & t^*\mathcal{P}_0^{\Sigma'+}(F) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P}_0^{\Sigma'+}(F) \\
\downarrow & & \searrow & & \swarrow & & \downarrow \\
B\operatorname{Diff}_+(\Sigma) & \xleftarrow[s]{} & & B\operatorname{Diff}_+(f) & & \xrightarrow[t]{} & B\operatorname{Diff}_+(\Sigma')
\end{array}$$

where the trapezoids are homotopy pullbacks. That is, $s^*\mathcal{P}_0^{\Sigma+}(F) \approx \operatorname{Map}_0(\Sigma, F)_{h\operatorname{Diff}_+(f)}$ and $t^*\mathcal{P}_0^{\Sigma'+}(F) \approx \operatorname{Map}_0(\Sigma', F)_{h\operatorname{Diff}_+(f)}$, while the map labeled f^* is obtained by applying homotopy

orbits of the $\mathrm{Diff}_+(f)$-action to the the map $f^*\colon \mathrm{Map}_0(\Sigma, F) \to \mathrm{Map}_0(\Sigma', F)$ given by restriction along f.

The observation is that, after applying the construction of §3.1, the map f^* presents an isogeny of curves, of degree equal to the degree of f. To see this, we consider an explicit example.

5.2. *Example.* Fix $\Sigma = \Sigma' = \mathbb{T}^2$, and let f be the map induced by left multiplication by some integer matrix B with positive determinant. Set $\Gamma_B := SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \cap B^{-1}\, SL_2(\mathbb{Z})\, B$. Then there is a weak equivalence of topological groups

$$\Gamma_B \ltimes \mathbb{T}^2 \approx \mathrm{Diff}_+(f),$$

so that the projections to $\mathrm{Diff}_+(\Sigma)$ and $\mathrm{Diff}_+(\Sigma')$ correspond to

$$SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{T}^2 \xleftarrow{(BAB^{-1}, Bt) \leftarrow\!\shortmid (A,t)} \Gamma_B \ltimes \mathbb{T}^2 \xrightarrow{(A,t) \mapsto (A,t)} SL_2(\mathbb{Z}) \ltimes \mathbb{T}^2.$$

Let $F = BU(1)$, form $\mathrm{Spec}_{\mathrm{an}}$ of the cohomology of universal covers of objects in (5.1), and restrict to the subset $\mathcal{X} = \{\, (t_1, t_2) \mid \mathrm{Im}\, t_1/t_2 > 0 \,\}$. Together with actions of fundamental groups and the grading action by $\mathbb{C}^\times$, the middle triangle of (5.1) is seen to have the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\Gamma_B \ltimes' \mathbb{Z}^2) \times \mathbb{C}^\times \backslash\backslash \mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{C} & \xrightarrow{\qquad f^* \qquad} & (\Gamma_B \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^2) \times \mathbb{C}^\times \backslash\backslash \mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{C} \\ & \searrow \qquad \swarrow & \\ & \Gamma_B \times \mathbb{C}^\times \backslash\backslash \mathcal{X} & \end{array}$$

where f^* is induced by the identity on $\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{C}$, and both maps $\mathcal{X} \times \mathbb{C} \to \mathcal{X}$ are the evident projection. The semidirect product $\Gamma_B \ltimes \mathbb{Z}^2$ is induced by the tautological action $\Gamma_B \subset SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$, while the semidirect product $\Gamma_B \ltimes' \mathbb{Z}^2$ is induced by the homomorphism $A \mapsto BAB^{-1}\colon \Gamma_B \to SL_2(\mathbb{Z})$. The action in the upper-right corner is

$$A \propto (t, y) = (At, y), \quad m \propto (t, y) = (t, y + mt), \quad \lambda \propto (t, y) = (\lambda t, \lambda y),$$

while the action in the upper-left corner is

$$A \propto (t, y) = (At, y), \quad m \propto (t, y) = (t, y + mBt), \quad \lambda \propto (t, y) = (\lambda t, \lambda y)$$

where $A \in \Gamma_B$, $m \in \mathbb{Z}^2$ (treated as a row vector), $t \in \mathcal{X}$ (treated as a column vector), $y \in \mathbb{C}$, and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^\times$.

Thus, in the "fibers" over $(t_1, t_2) \in \mathcal{X}$ we obtain (after taking quotients by $\mathbb{Z}^2$-actions) the projection $\mathbb{C}/\big((Bt)_1\mathbb{Z} + (Bt)_2\mathbb{Z}\big) \to \mathbb{C}/\big(t_1\mathbb{Z} + t_2\mathbb{Z}\big)$, an isogeny of degree $\det B$.

6. Spaces with (free) homotopy groups in only dimensions 2 and 3

We let $\mathcal{C}_{2,3}$ denote the full subcategory of spaces, consisting of spaces F which are simply connected, have $\pi_k F \approx 0$ for $k \geq 4$, and are such that $\pi_2 F$ and $\pi_3 F$ are finitely generated free abelian groups.

For such an $F \in \mathcal{C}_{2,3}$, we typically write

$$\pi_2 F \approx B, \qquad \pi_3 F \approx C.$$

Because F is simply connected, there is no ambiguity related to choice of base points.

The Whitehead product (11.7) $[-,-]\colon \pi_2 F \times \pi_2 F \to \pi_3 F$ defines a bilinear symmetric form

$$\beta\colon B \otimes B \to C.$$

Precomposition $\circ\eta\colon \pi_2 F \to \pi_3 F$ with the Hopf map $\eta \in \pi_3 S^2$ is a function

$$\phi\colon B \to C,$$

quadratic in the sense of §3.9, which satisfies

$$\phi(y + y') = \phi(y) + \beta(y, y') + \phi(y').$$

(This identity fixes our preferred choice of generator η of $\pi_3 S^2$.)

It is classical that the data of (B, C, ϕ) is a complete invariant for the homotopy type of $F \in \mathcal{C}_{2,3}$. In fact, $F \mapsto \phi$ defines an equivalence between the homotopy category $h\mathcal{C}_{2,3}$ of such spaces, and the category of quadratic functions between finitely generated free groups. Thus, for any such F, there exist unique homotopy classes of maps $i\colon K(C,3) \to F$ and $j\colon F \to K(B,2)$ inducing identity maps on the relevant homotopy groups. These maps can be fitted into a fibration sequence $K(C,3) \xrightarrow{i} F \xrightarrow{j} K(B,2)$, which furthermore admits an extension to a fibration sequence $F \xrightarrow{j} K(B,2) \to K(C,4)$.

6.1. **Lemma.** *Let $F \in \mathcal{C}_{2,3}$, and consider $b, b' \in B = \pi_2 F$. Choose a lift of the Whitehead product $[b,b'] \in \pi_3 F$ along i, and form a diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S^3 & \xrightarrow{w} & S^2 \vee S^2 & \longrightarrow & S^2 \times S^2 \\ {\scriptstyle \beta(b,b')}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle (b,b')}\downarrow & & {\scriptstyle g}\downarrow \\ K(C,3) & \xrightarrow[i]{} & F & \xrightarrow[j]{} & K(B,2) \end{array}$$

where w is the universal Whitehead product, and g is the extension to the mapping cone of a homotopy $(b,b') \circ w \sim i \circ \beta(b,b')$. Then $g_*\colon H_4(S^2 \times S^2) \to H_4(K(B,2)) \approx \Gamma_2 B \subseteq (B \otimes B)^{\Sigma_2}$ sends $[S^2] \times [S^2] \mapsto b \otimes b' + b' \otimes b$.

6.2. **Proposition.** *Let $F \in \mathcal{C}_{2,3}$, with associated quadratic function ϕ. The evident map $H^*(K(B,2);\mathbb{Q}) \to H^*(F;\mathbb{Q})$ factors through*

$$\operatorname{Sym}(B^* \otimes \mathbb{Q})/(\widetilde{\phi}^*(C^* \otimes \mathbb{Q})) \to H^*(F;\mathbb{Q})$$

where $\widetilde{\phi}^*\colon C^* \to (\Gamma_2 B)^* \approx \operatorname{Sym}^2(B^*)$ is dual to $\widetilde{\phi}\colon \Gamma_2 B \to C$. Furthermore, this map is an isomorphism when ϕ satisfies a regularity condition*: namely, that the ideal $(\widetilde{\phi}^*(C^* \otimes \mathbb{Q}))$ is generated by a regular sequence of length* $\operatorname{rank} C$.

Proof. The Serre spectral sequence for $K(C,3) \to F \to K(B,2)$ has

$$E_2 = E_4 = H^*(K(B,2); H^*(K(C,3);\mathbb{Q})) \approx \operatorname{Sym}(B^* \otimes \mathbb{Q}) \otimes \Lambda(C^* \otimes \mathbb{Q}).$$

The first non-trivial differential is $d_4\colon E_4^{0,3} \to E_4^{4,0}$, which by (6.1) is $\pm\widetilde{\phi}^*$. The regularity condition is what is needed for the higher cohomology of (E_4, d_4) to vanish, in which case the spectral sequence collapses to $E_\infty^{*,*} = E_5^{*,0}$.

□

6.3. *Remark.* The regularity condition on $\phi\colon \mathbb{Z}^d \to \mathbb{Z}^e$ implies that $H^*(F;\mathbb{Q})$ is the coordinate ring of Y, the fiber over 0 of the map $\mathbb{A}^d \to \mathbb{A}^e$ of affine schemes over $\mathbb{Q}$ obtained from ϕ. In this case, Y is a complete intersection of homogeneous quadric hypersurfaces.

6.4. *Example.* If F is associated to $\phi\colon \mathbb{Z}^d \to \mathbb{Z}$ given by a *non-zero* quadratic polynomial $f(y) = \frac{1}{2}\sum c_{ij} y_i y_j$, then $H^*(F;\mathbb{Q}) \approx \mathbb{Q}[y_1, \dots, y_d]/(f(y))$.

7. A group model G for ΩF

We will carry out our calculations using a suitable topological group G so that $F \approx BG$.

7.1. **Construction of $G = G_\gamma$.** Fix a bilinear map $\gamma\colon B \otimes B \to C$ between finitely generated free abelian groups.

Let $K(B,1)_\bullet$ and $K(C,2)_\bullet$ be simplicial abelian groups, degreewise free, together with identifications $B \approx \pi_1 K(B,1)_\bullet$, $C \approx \pi_2 K(C,2)_\bullet$, and all other homotopy groups trivial. Then it is clear that there exists a map

$$\delta_\bullet\colon K(B,1)_\bullet \otimes K(B,1)_\bullet \to K(C,2)_\bullet$$

inducing γ on π_2, which is unique up to homotopy.

Consider the composite map of simplicial sets

$$K(B,1)_\bullet \times K(B,1)_\bullet \xrightarrow{(x,y)\mapsto x\otimes y} K(B,1)_\bullet \otimes K(B,1)_\bullet \xrightarrow{\delta_\bullet} K(C,2)_\bullet.$$

Taking geometric realization gives rise to a map of spaces we denote

$$\delta\colon K(B,1)\times K(B,1)\to K(C,2).$$

This δ is a bilinear map between topological abelian groups. Define a topological group $G := G_\gamma$ by

$$G := K(B,1)\times K(C,2), \qquad (x,y)\cdot(x',y') := (x+x', \delta(x,x')+y+y'), \quad (x,y),(x',y')\in G.$$

For future reference, we note that inversion in G is given by

$$(x,y)^{-1} = (-x, \delta(x,x)-y'),$$

and the commutator on G is given by

$$(x,y)\cdot(x',y')\cdot(x,y)^{-1}\cdot(x',y')^{-1} = (0, \delta(x,x')-\delta(x',x)). \tag{7.2}$$

The group G is a central extension of $K(B,1)$ by $K(C,2)$, and we have evident isomorphisms $\pi_1 G \approx B$ and $\pi_2 G \approx C$.

The commutator $G\wedge G\to G$ defines the Samelson product

$$\langle -,-\rangle\colon \pi_p G\times \pi_q G\to \pi_{p+q}G.$$

7.3. **Proposition.** *For G constructed above, the Samelson product $\pi_1 G\times\pi_1 G\to\pi_2 G$ is given by*

$$\langle b,b'\rangle = \gamma_{\mathrm{sym}}(b,b') := \gamma(b,b')+\gamma(b',b).$$

Proof. The map $\delta\colon K(B,1)\wedge K(B,1)\to K(C,2)$ induces γ on homotopy groups, and so $(x,x')\mapsto \delta(x',x)$ induces $(b,b')\mapsto -\gamma(b',b)$ on homotopy groups. The result follows from (7.2). □

7.4. **Relation between F and G.** Given G_γ as above, define $F := BG_\gamma \in \mathcal{C}_{2,3}$. The standard equivalence $G_\gamma \approx \Omega F$ gives isomorphisms $\pi_2 F\approx B$ and $\pi_3 F\approx C$. Furthermore, we have the following.

7.5. **Proposition.** *For $F = BG_\gamma$, the Whitehead product $\pi_2F\times\pi_2F\to\pi_3F$ is given by*

$$[b,b'] = -\gamma_{\mathrm{sym}}(b,b').$$

Proof. This is a special case of the relation between the Whitehead and Samelson products (11.9). □

Thus, given $F\in\mathcal{C}_{2,3}$ associated to a quadratic function $\phi\colon B\to C$, we have an equivalence $F\approx BG_{-\omega}$ inducing "identity" on homotopy groups, for any quadratic extension ω of ϕ.

For any space Σ, we have that

$$\mathrm{Map}_0(\Sigma, F_\phi)\approx \mathrm{Map}_0(\Sigma, BG_{-\omega})\approx B\,\mathrm{Map}(\Sigma, G_{-\omega}).$$

8. Computation of $\pi_*\mathrm{Map}(\Sigma, G_\gamma)$

We fix a topological group $G = G_\gamma$ associated to a homomorphism $\gamma\colon B\otimes B\to C$.

Bilinear cohomology operations. Any bilinear map $\alpha\colon B \otimes B' \to C$ induces a bilinear cohomology operation

$$\widetilde{\alpha}\colon H^p(-;B) \times H^q(-;B') \to H^{p+q}(-;C)$$

by

$$\widetilde{\alpha}(x,y) := \alpha_*(x \smile y),$$

where $\alpha_*\colon H^*(-;B \otimes B') \to H^*(-;C)$ is the natural map induced by the homomorphism on coefficients.

8.1. *Remark.* We note that

$$\widetilde{\alpha}(x,y) = (-1)^{|x||y|}\widetilde{\alpha\tau}(y,x),$$

where $\tau\colon B' \otimes B \xrightarrow{\sim} B \otimes B'$ is the evident transposition map. In particular, if $\alpha\colon B \otimes B \to C$ is symmetric, then $\widetilde{\alpha}(x,y) = (-1)^{|x||y|}\widetilde{\alpha}(y,x)$.

The functors $\pi_* \operatorname{Map}(-, G_\gamma)$. We are going to describe $\pi_k \operatorname{Map}(-, G_\gamma)$ (basepoint at the identity element) as functors on the homotopy category of spaces, together with their Samelson products.

8.2. **Proposition.** *Let Σ be an arbitrary space.*

(1) *We have natural isomorphisms of sets*

$$\pi_k \operatorname{Map}(\Sigma, G_\gamma) \approx H^{1-k}(\Sigma; B) \times H^{2-k}(\Sigma; C)$$

for all k.

(2) *The group law on $\pi_k \operatorname{Map}(\Sigma, G_\gamma)$ for $k \geq 1$ is the evident additive one. The group law on π_0 is given by*

$$(f,c) \cdot (f',c') = (f+f', \widetilde{\gamma}(f,f') + c + c').$$

(3) *Samelson products $\pi_p \times \pi_q \to \pi_{p+q}$ on $\operatorname{Map}(\Sigma, G_\gamma)$ are given, in terms of the above bijection, by*

$$\langle (x,y), (x',y') \rangle = (0, (-1)^{p(1-q)}\widetilde{\gamma_{\mathrm{sym}}}(x,x')).$$

Of course, $\pi_k \operatorname{Map}(\Sigma, G_\gamma) \approx 0$ for $k \geq 3$, and one factor is always 0 in $\pi_2 \operatorname{Map}(\Sigma, G_\gamma)$. In low dimensions, the Samelson products take the form

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle (f,c),(b,g) \rangle &= (0, \widetilde{\gamma_{\mathrm{sym}}}(f,b)) = (0, \widetilde{\gamma_{\mathrm{sym}}}(b,f)), && \pi_0 \times \pi_1 \to \pi_0,\\
\langle (b,g),(f,c) \rangle &= (0, -\widetilde{\gamma_{\mathrm{sym}}}(b,f)) = (0, -\widetilde{\gamma_{\mathrm{sym}}}(f,b)), && \pi_1 \times \pi_0 \to \pi_1,\\
\langle (b,g),(b',g') \rangle &= \widetilde{\gamma_{\mathrm{sym}}}(b,b') = -\widetilde{\gamma_{\mathrm{sym}}}(b',b), && \pi_1 \times \pi_1 \to \pi_2.
\end{aligned}$$

The Samelson product $\pi_0 \times \pi_2 \to \pi_2$ is trivial.

8.3. *Remark.* Taking $F_\phi = BG_{-\omega}$ (i.e., $\gamma = -\omega$, so $\gamma_{\mathrm{sym}} = -\beta$) and tracing through the relation between Samelson products, Whitehead products, quadratic invariants, and the action $\pi_1 \curvearrowright \pi_*$, we see that:

- The group law on $\pi_1 \operatorname{Map}_0(\Sigma, F_\phi)$ is $(f,c) \cdot (f',c') = (f+f', -\omega(f,f') + c + c')$.
- The action of $\pi_1 \operatorname{Map}_0(\Sigma, F_\phi) \curvearrowright \pi_2 \operatorname{Map}_0(\Sigma, F_\phi)$ is

$$(f,c) \propto (b,g) = (b,g) + [(f,c),(b,g)] = (b,g) + \langle (f,c),(b,g) \rangle = (b, g - \widetilde{\beta}(b,f)).$$

- The quadratic invariant $\phi^\sharp\colon \pi_2 \operatorname{Map}_0(\Sigma, F_\phi) \to \pi_3 \operatorname{Map}_0(\Sigma, F_\phi)$ is $\phi^\sharp(b,g) = \phi_*(b)$.

Proof of (8.2)(1) and (2). The bijections of (1) are immediate from the description of G_γ. The formula for the group law in (2) amounts to the fact that the topological cocycle $\delta\colon K(B,1) \times K(B,1) \to K(C,2)$ represents the cohomology operation $\widetilde{\gamma}$. That the group structure for $\pi_{*\geq 1}$ is the additive one is straightforward; e.g., $\Omega G \approx K(B,0) \times K(C,1)$ as an H-space. □

8.4. *Remark.* We record here the inversion formula for $\pi_0 \operatorname{Map}(\Sigma, G_\gamma)$:

$$(f,c)^{-1} = (-f, \widetilde{\gamma}(f,f) - c).$$

To prove part (3) of (8.2), we use a suspension map.

8.5. **The suspension map.** There is a natural **suspension map**

$$S_k\colon \pi_p \operatorname{Map}(\Sigma, G) \to \pi_{p-k} \operatorname{Map}(\Sigma \times S^k, G)$$

induced by the evident inclusion

$$\operatorname{Map}_*(S^k, \operatorname{Map}(\Sigma, G)) \subseteq \operatorname{Map}(S^k, \operatorname{Map}(\Sigma, G)) \approx \operatorname{Map}(\Sigma \times S^k, G).$$

We compute the effect of suspension in terms of the isomorphisms (8.2)(1).

8.6. **Proposition.** *The suspension map S_k is given by*

$$S_k(x, y) = (x \times \epsilon_k, y \times \epsilon_k), \qquad x \in H^{1-p}(\Sigma; B),\ y \in H^{2-p}(\Sigma; C),$$

where $\epsilon_k \in H^k S^k$ is the canonical generator. In particular, the suspension map is injective.

Proof. Using $G = K(B, 1) \times K(C, 2)$, we see that S_k is the same as the suspension map in cohomology. (See (11.5).) □

8.7. **Computation of Samelson products.** When $p = q = 0$, the Samelson product is just the commutator on $\pi_0 \operatorname{Map}(\Sigma, G)$. Thus, in terms of (8.2)(1),

$$\langle (x, y), (x', y') \rangle = (0, \widetilde{\gamma}(x, x') - \widetilde{\gamma}(x', x)) = (0, \widetilde{\gamma_{\mathrm{sym}}}(x, x')).$$

The last equality is because $\widetilde{\gamma_{\mathrm{sym}}}\colon H^1(\Sigma; B) \times H^1(\Sigma; B) \to H^2(\Sigma; C)$ is the *antisymmetrization* of $\widetilde{\gamma}$, for degree reasons (8.1).

8.8. **Proposition.** *There is a commutative diagram of the form*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\pi_p \operatorname{Map}(\Sigma, G) \times \pi_q \operatorname{Map}(\Sigma, G) & \xrightarrow{\langle -,- \rangle} & \pi_{p+q} \operatorname{Map}(\Sigma, G) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle S_p \times S_q} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle S_{p+q}} \\
\pi_0 \operatorname{Map}(\Sigma \times S^p, G) \times \pi_0 \operatorname{Map}(\Sigma \times S^q, G) & & \pi_0 \operatorname{Map}(\Sigma \times S^{p+q}, G) \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_p^* \times \pi_q^*} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \pi_{p,q}^*} \\
\pi_0 \operatorname{Map}(\Sigma \times S^p \times S^q, G) \times \pi_0 \operatorname{Map}(\Sigma \times S^p \times S^q, G) & \xrightarrow[\langle -,- \rangle]{} & \pi_0 \operatorname{Map}(\Sigma \times S^p \times S^q, G)
\end{array}$$

where $\pi_p\colon S^p \times S^q \to S^p$, $\pi_q\colon S^p \times S^q \to S^q$, and $\pi_{p,q}\colon S^p \times S^q \to S^p \wedge S^q \approx S^{p+q}$ are the evident projections.

Proof. The underlying point-set diagram commutes. □

Proof of (8.2)(3). Inserting $(x, y) \in \pi_p \operatorname{Map}(\Sigma, G)$ and $(x', y') \in \pi_q \operatorname{Map}(\Sigma, G)$, going around the upper/right side of the square gives

$$\langle (x, y), (x', y') \rangle \times \epsilon_p \times \epsilon_q,$$

while going around the left/lower side gives

$$(0, \widetilde{\gamma_{\mathrm{sym}}}(x \times \epsilon_p \times 1, x' \times 1 \times \epsilon_q)) = (0, (-1)^{p(1-q)} \widetilde{\gamma_{\mathrm{sym}}}(x, x') \times \epsilon_p \times \epsilon_q),$$

since $x' \in H^{1-q}(\Sigma; B)$. Therefore we arrive at the formula

$$\langle (x, y), (x', y') \rangle = (0, (-1)^{p(1-q)} \widetilde{\gamma_{\mathrm{sym}}}(x, x')), \qquad (x, y) \in \pi_p \operatorname{Map}(\Sigma, G),\ (x', y') \in \pi_q \operatorname{Map}(\Sigma, G).$$

□

A desuspension map. Consider the basepoint preserving map

$$D\colon \operatorname{Map}(\Sigma \times S^1, G) \to \Omega \operatorname{Map}(\Sigma, G)$$

defined by

$$(Df)(t)(s) := f(s,t) \cdot f(s,*)^{-1}, \qquad t \in S^1,\ s \in \Sigma,$$

using the group law of G_γ; here $* \in S^1$ represents the basepoint. This induces a map on homotopy groups

$$D_*\colon \pi_k \operatorname{Map}(\Sigma \times S^1, G) \xrightarrow{\pi_k D} \pi_k \Omega \operatorname{Map}(\Sigma, G) \xrightarrow[\sim]{\nu} \pi_{k+1} \operatorname{Map}(\Sigma, G).$$

8.9. **Proposition.** *The map $D_*\colon \pi_k \operatorname{Map}(\Sigma \times S^1, G_\gamma) \to \pi_{k+1} \operatorname{Map}(\Sigma, G_\gamma)$ is computed for $k = 0$ by*

$$D_*(x \times 1 + x' \times \epsilon,\ y \times 1 + y' \times \epsilon) = (x',\ \widetilde{\gamma}(x', x) + y'),$$

where $x \times 1 + x' \times \epsilon \in H^1(\Sigma \times S^1; B)$, $y \times 1 + y' \times \epsilon \in H^2(\Sigma \times S^1; C)$, where $\epsilon \in H^1 S^1$ is such that $(\epsilon, [S^1]) = 1$.

It is computed for $k = 1$ by

$$D_*(x \times 1, y \times 1 + y' \times \epsilon) = y',$$

where $x \times 1 \in H^0(\Sigma \times S^1, B)$, $y \times 1 + y' \times \epsilon \in H^1(\Sigma \times S^1, C)$.

Proof. Consider the composite map $S_1 \circ D$, which is the self-map of $\operatorname{Map}(\Sigma \times S^1, G)$ which sends f to $(s,t) \mapsto f(s,t) \cdot f(s,*)^{-1}$.

For $k = 0$, the effect of $S_1 \circ D$ on $(x + x' \times \epsilon, y + y' \times \epsilon)$ (we omit "$\times 1$" from the notation), using the formula for the group law on π_0, is

$$\begin{aligned}(x + x' \times \epsilon, y + y' \times \epsilon) \cdot (x,y)^{-1} &= (x + x' \times \epsilon, y + y' \times \epsilon) \cdot (-x, \widetilde{\gamma}(x,x) - y) \\ &= (x' \times \epsilon, \widetilde{\gamma}(x,x) + \widetilde{\gamma}(x + x' \times \epsilon, -x) + y' \times \epsilon) \\ &= (x' \times \epsilon, \big(\widetilde{\gamma}(x', x) + y'\big) \times \epsilon).\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\widetilde{\gamma}(x' \times \epsilon, x) = \gamma_*((x' \times \epsilon) \smile x) = -\gamma_*(x' \smile x) \times \epsilon = -\widetilde{\gamma}(x' \smile x) \times \epsilon$, since $x \in H^1$. We read off the formula we need using (8.6).

For $k = 1$, the calculation of $S_1 \circ D$ on $(x, y + y' \times \epsilon)$ is as expected:

$$(x, y + y' \times \epsilon) \cdot (x,y)^{-1} = (x, y + y' \times \epsilon) - (x,y) = (0, y' \times \epsilon),$$

whence the desired formula. □

9. Calculation of group model for $\mathcal{P}_0^\Sigma(F)$

We will compute $\pi_* \mathcal{P}_0^\Sigma(F)$ by using an explicit model for it, namely $B\widetilde{K} := B(\operatorname{Aut}(T) \ltimes T \ltimes \operatorname{Map}(T, \Sigma))$, where $T \approx \mathbb{T}^2$. In this section, we describe Samelson products in $\pi_* K$.

9.1. **The group T.** Fix a connected compact abelian Lie group T, whose group law is written additively. We will also consider the semidirect product group $\widetilde{T} := \operatorname{Aut}(T) \ltimes T$, with group law

$$(A,t) \cdot (A',t') := (AA', (A')^{-1}t + t').$$

We define the topological group

$$\widetilde{K} := \widetilde{T} \ltimes \operatorname{Map}(T, G),$$

with group law given by

$$(u,f) \cdot (u',f') := (tt', \big(s \mapsto f(u' \cdot s) \cdot f'(s)\big).$$

We let $K \subseteq \widetilde{K}$ denote the subgroup $T \ltimes \operatorname{Map}(T, G)$.

9.2. **Conventions and notation for T.** We write

$$L := H_1T \approx \pi_1 T, \qquad \mathrm{Aut}(T) = \mathrm{Aut}(L).$$

The homology and cohomology H_*T and H^*T of T are commutative and cocommutative graded Hopf algebras. In particular, the homology of T is equipped with a Pontryagin product, i.e., the multiplication $\mathrm{Mult}\colon T \times T \to T$ induces

$$\mathrm{Mult}_*\colon H_pT \otimes H_qT \to H_{p+q}T$$

a graded-commutative multiplication on H_*T. We note that this product induces isomorphisms $\Lambda^p L \xrightarrow{\sim} H_pT$. This extends to a product $\mathrm{Mult}_*\colon H_p(T;M) \otimes H_qT \to H_{p+q}(T;M)$ for any abelian group M.

We define a bilinear map

$$f, v \mapsto f\Delta v\colon H^{p+q}(T;M) \times H_qT \to H^p(T;M),$$

by

$$f\Delta v = \sum f'(f'', v),$$

where $\mathrm{Mult}^* f = \sum f' \otimes f''$, $f \in H^{p+q}(T;M)$, $v \in H_qT$.

Note that if $|f| = |v|$, then $f\Delta v = (f, v)$ is exactly the Kronecker pairing $H^k(T;M) \otimes H_kT \to H^0(T;M) = M$.

9.3. *Example.* If $\{v_i\}$ is a basis for H_*T, and $\{\epsilon_j\}$ a basis for H^*T such that $(\epsilon_j, v_j) = \delta_{ij}$, then

$$\mathrm{Mult}^* f = \sum (f\Delta v_i) \times \epsilon_i.$$

We have that

$$(f\Delta v)\Delta w = f\Delta\, \mathrm{Mult}_*(v \otimes w),$$

i.e., Δ makes $H^*(T;M)$ into a right module over (H_*T, Mult_*). Furthermore, elements $v \in H_1T$ act as right derivations with respect to cup product $H^p(T;M) \otimes H^q(T;M') \to H^{p+q}(T;M \otimes M')$:

$$(f \smile f')\Delta v = (-1)^{|f'|}(f\Delta v) \smile f' \; + \; f \smile (f'\Delta v).$$

We record the following for future use.

9.4. **Proposition.** *For $f \in H^1(T;M)$, $f' \in H^1(T;M')$, and $v, w \in H_1T$, we have*

$$(f \smile f')\Delta v\Delta w = -f\Delta v \otimes f'\Delta w + f\Delta w \otimes f'\Delta v,$$

i.e.,

$$(f \smile f', \mathrm{Mult}_*(v \otimes w)) = (f \otimes f', v \otimes w - w \otimes v) = -(f, v) \otimes (f', w) + (f, w) \otimes (f', v).$$

9.5. **Some more Samelson products.** Given elements $(f, 1), (1, g) \in K = T \ltimes \mathrm{Map}(T, G)$, their commutator is given by

$$(f, 1) \cdot (1, g) \cdot (f^{-1}, 1) \cdot (1, g^{-1}) = (1, t \mapsto g(f^{-1} \cdot t) \cdot g^{-1}(t)).$$

For a based map $v\colon S^1 \to T$, define

$$C_v\colon T \times S^1 \to T, \qquad C_v(t, s) = v^{-1}(s) \cdot t = t \cdot v^{-1}(s).$$

That is, C_v is the composite

$$T \times S^1 \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \times v} T \times T \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id} \times \mathrm{Inv}} T \times T \xrightarrow{\mathrm{Mult}} T.$$

9.6. **Lemma.** *The induced map $C_v^*\colon H^k(T;M) \to H^k(T \times S^1;M)$ is given by $C_v^*(f) = f \times 1 - (f\Delta v) \times \epsilon$ (where we also write v for $v_*[S^1] \in H_1(T)$).*

Proof. If $\operatorname{Mult}^* f = \sum f' \otimes f''$, then

$$(\mathrm{id} \times \mathrm{Inv})^* \operatorname{Mult}^* f = \sum (-1)^{|f''|} f' \otimes f''.$$

Since $v^* f = (f, v_*[*]) \times 1 + (f, v_*[S^1]) \times \epsilon$, we have

$$(\mathrm{id} \times_v \mathrm{Inv})^* \operatorname{Mult}^* f = \sum (-1)^{|f''|} f'(f'', [*]) \times 1 + f'(f'', v) \times \epsilon = f\Delta[*] \times 1 - f\Delta v \times \epsilon.$$

□

9.7. **Lemma.** *For $v \in \pi_1 T$ and $w \in \pi_k \operatorname{Map}(T, G)$, we have*

$$\langle (v, 0), (0, w) \rangle = \big(0, (D \circ C_v^*)_*(w)\big) \in \pi_{k+1} K = \pi_{k+1} T \times \pi_{k+1} \operatorname{Map}(T, \Sigma),$$

where D is the desuspension map.

Proof. Evidently, $D \circ C_v^* \colon \operatorname{Map}(T, G) \to \operatorname{Map}(T \times S^1, G) \to \Omega \operatorname{Map}(T, G)$ sends $g \colon T \to G$ to $h \in \Omega \operatorname{Map}(T, G)$ defined by $h(s) := \big(t \mapsto g(v^{-1}(s) \cdot t) \cdot g(t)^{-1}\big)$. That is, $h(s) = v(s) \cdot g \cdot v(s)^{-1} \cdot g^{-1}$, the commutator in the group $K = T \ltimes \operatorname{Map}(T, G)$. Thus, for a based map $w \colon S^k \to \operatorname{Map}(T, G)$, the composite $D \circ C_v \circ w$ is adjoint to the desired Samelson product. □

9.8. **Proposition.** *For $v \in \pi_1 T \subset \pi_1 K$ and $(x, y) \in \pi_k \operatorname{Map}(T, G_\gamma) \subset \pi_k K$, we have*

$$\langle (v, 0), (0, (x, y)) \rangle = (-x\Delta v, -\widetilde{\gamma}(x\Delta v, x) - y\Delta v) \in \pi_k \operatorname{Map}(T, G_\gamma) \subset \pi_k K.$$

Proof. In view of (9.7), combine (8.9) with the effect of C_v on $\pi_* \operatorname{Map}(T, G_\gamma)$, which amounts to (9.6). □

9.9. **Homotopy groups of K.**

9.10. **Proposition.** *Fix T and G_γ as above, so that $K = T \ltimes \operatorname{Map}(T, G_\gamma)$.*

(1) *We have set bijections*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_2 K &\approx H^0(T; C), \\ \pi_1 K &\approx L \times H^0(T; B) \times H^1(T; C), \\ \pi_0 K &\approx H^1(T; B) \times H^2(T; C). \end{aligned}$$

(2) *The group structure on π_1 and π_2 is the evident additive one, while the group law in π_0 is given by*

$$(f, c) \cdot (f', c') = (f + f', \widetilde{\gamma}(f, f') + c + c').$$

(3) *Samelson products on $\pi_* K$ are given in terms of the above bijections by*

$$\langle (f, c), (v, b, g) \rangle = (0, f\Delta v, \widetilde{\gamma_{\mathrm{sym}}}(f, b) + \widetilde{\gamma}(f\Delta v, f) + c\Delta v), \qquad \pi_0 \times \pi_1 \to \pi_1, \tag{9.11}$$

$$\langle (v, b, g), (f, c) \rangle = (0, -f\Delta v, -\widetilde{\gamma_{\mathrm{sym}}}(f, b) - \widetilde{\gamma}(f\Delta v, f) - c\Delta v), \qquad \pi_1 \times \pi_0 \to \pi_1, \tag{9.12}$$

$$\langle (v, b, g), (v', b', g') \rangle = \widetilde{\gamma_{\mathrm{sym}}}(b, b') - g\Delta v' - g'\Delta v, \qquad \pi_1 \times \pi_1 \to \pi_2, \tag{9.13}$$

while $\pi_0 \times \pi_2 \to \pi_2$ is trivial.

Proof. This is a combination of what we have proved up to now. The set bijections are from the given product decomposition of K. The group structure in π_0 is by (8.2)(2), while in higher degrees it is follows easily from (8.2)(2) since the groups must be abelian.

Part (3) is a consequence of the bilinearity of the Samelson product, combined with (8.2)(3), (9.8), and the fact that Samelson products in $\pi_* T$ vanish since T is abelian. □

9.14. **Homotopy groups of $\widetilde{K}$.** We add in the action of $\mathrm{Aut}(L)$.

9.15. **Proposition.** *Fix $\widetilde{T}$ and G_γ as above, so that $K = T \ltimes \mathrm{Map}(T, G_\gamma)$. Consider the evident action $\mathrm{Aut}(L) \to K$, by $A \propto (t, f) = (At, f \circ A^{-1})$. The action of $\mathrm{Aut}(L)$ on $\pi_* K$ is given (in terms of (9.10)) by*

$$\begin{aligned} A \propto (f, c) &= ((A^{-1})^* f), (\det A)^{-1} c), & (f, c) &\in \pi_0 K, \\ A \propto (v, b, g) &= (Av, b, (A^{-1})^* g), & (v, b, g) &\in \pi_1 K, \\ A \propto h &= h, & h &\in \pi_2 K. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Immediate by functoriality. □

10. Proof of the theorem

10.1. **Natural isomorphisms.** Fix a closed orientable genus 1 surface Σ. We set

$$L := H_1 \Sigma \approx \pi_1 \Sigma.$$

Fix a space $F \in \mathcal{C}_{2,3}$. We set

$$B := \pi_2 F, \qquad C := \pi_3 F,$$

and we write $\phi\colon B \to C$ for the quadratic invariant of F.

Recall that $\mathrm{Map}_0(\Sigma, F) \subseteq \mathrm{Map}(\Sigma, F)$ is the path component containing constant maps. We define

$$\mathcal{P}^\Sigma(F) := \mathrm{Map}(\Sigma, F)_{h\,\mathrm{Diff}(\Sigma)}, \qquad \mathcal{P}_0^\Sigma(F) := \mathrm{Map}_0(\Sigma, F)_{h\,\mathrm{Diff}(\Sigma)}.$$

Note that we incorporate orientation reversing maps of Σ here; thus, $\mathcal{P}^{\Sigma+}(F) \to \mathcal{P}^\Sigma(F)$ is a double cover. Note also that $\mathcal{P}_0^\Sigma(F) \subset \mathcal{P}^\Sigma(F)$ is a path component. We point $\mathcal{P}_0^\Sigma(F)$ using any constant map in $\mathrm{Map}_0(\Sigma, f)$, together with a standard basepoint for $B\,\mathrm{Diff}(\Sigma)$.

10.2. **Proposition.** *There are natural isomorphisms*

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_3 \mathcal{P}_0^\Sigma(F) &\approx C, \\ \pi_2 \mathcal{P}_0^\Sigma(F) &\approx L \times B \times \mathrm{Hom}(L, C), \\ \pi_1 \mathcal{P}_0^\Sigma(F) &\approx E \rtimes \mathrm{Aut}(L), \end{aligned}$$

where $E = E(F)$ depends functorially on F, and fits in a natural central extension

$$1 \to C^\pm \to E \to \mathrm{Hom}(L, B) \to 1.$$

Proof. That such isomorphisms exist is not difficult; below we will choose specific models for Σ and F for which these isomorphisms are immediate. The goal here is to observe that the isomorphisms are in fact natural in F. We make use of the evident natural isomorphisms $\pi_k \mathrm{Map}(\Sigma, K(M, n)) \approx H^{n-k}(\Sigma; M)$.

Case of π_1. The fiber sequence $\mathrm{Map}_0(\Sigma, F) \to \mathcal{P}_0^\Sigma(F) \to B\,\mathrm{Diff}(\Sigma)$ admits a section corresponding to any constant map $\Sigma \to F$, and thus its long exact homotopy sequence splits. The subgroup E is precisely the image of $\pi_1 \mathrm{Map}_0(\Sigma, F)$, and it is thus clear that $\pi_1 \mathcal{P}_0^\Sigma(F)$ is a split extension of E by $\pi_1 B\,\mathrm{Diff}(\Sigma) \approx \mathrm{Aut}(L)$.

Recall that there exists a fiber sequence $K(C, 3) \xrightarrow{f} F \xrightarrow{g} K(B, 2) \xrightarrow{\phi} K(C, 4)$, and that the maps f and g are uniquely characterized up to homotopy. It is clear that $\Omega\phi \approx 0$. Thus applying $\pi_* \mathrm{Map}_0(\Sigma, -)$ to the sequence gives desired short exact sequence involving E, using $\pi_1 \mathrm{Map}(\Sigma, K(B, 2)) \approx H^1(\Sigma; B) \approx \mathrm{Hom}(L, B)$ and $\pi_1 \mathrm{Map}(\Sigma, K(C, 3)) \approx H^2(\Sigma; C) \approx C^\pm$, and this must necessarily be a central extension.

Case of π_2. We have evident maps

$$B\,\mathrm{Diff}(\Sigma) \times F \to \mathcal{P}_0^\Sigma(F) \leftarrow \mathrm{Map}_0(\Sigma, K(C, 3)),$$

which on π_2 induce

$$L \times B \to \pi_2 \mathcal{P}_0^\Sigma(F) \leftarrow \mathrm{Hom}(L, C),$$

which taken together give the isomorphism.

Case of π_3. Induced by $\mathrm{Map}_0(\Sigma, K(C,3)) \to \mathcal{P}_0^\Sigma(F)$.

□

10.3. **Calculations using an explicit model.** For the remainder of the calculation, we use choices of explicit models for F and Σ.

Next we choose a model for F. That is, suppose F has quadratic invariant $\phi\colon B \to C$. Pick a bilinear extension $\omega\colon B \otimes B \to C$. We let $G := G_{-\omega}$, the group constructed earlier. Then there is a weak equivalence $BG_{-\omega} \approx F$ identifying homotopy groups and quadratic invariant in the evident way.

Next we choose a model for Σ. In fact, we can choose a diffeomorphism $\Sigma \approx \mathbb{T} \otimes L$. This choice gives Σ a group structure, and gives rise to a homomorphism $\mathrm{Aut}(L) \ltimes (\mathbb{T} \otimes L) \to \mathrm{Diff}(\Sigma)$ which is a weak equivalence of topological groups. We have

$$\begin{aligned} H_0\Sigma &= \mathbb{Z}, & H^0\Sigma &= \mathbb{Z}, \\ H_1\Sigma &= L, & H^1\Sigma &= \mathrm{Hom}(L,\mathbb{Z}) = L^*, \\ H_2\Sigma &= \mathbb{Z}_\pm, & H^2\Sigma &= \mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}_\pm,\mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z}^\pm. \end{aligned}$$

The identification of the cohomology groups is via the Kronecker pairing $(-,-)\colon H^*\Sigma \otimes H_*\Sigma \to \mathbb{Z}$, so that a class $f \in H^p\Sigma$ corresponds to the homomorphism $(f,-)\colon H_p\Sigma \to \mathbb{Z}$. Recall that, in the "$\Delta$" notation, we also have $(f,v) = f\Delta v$.

We write

$$\mathrm{Cup}_*\colon \mathbb{Z}_\pm = H_2\Sigma \to H_1\Sigma \otimes H_1\Sigma = L \otimes L$$

for the dual to the cup product map (with respect to the Kronecker pairings). We note that, for $v, w \in L$, we have

$$\mathrm{Cup}_* \mathrm{Mult}_*(v \otimes w) = v \otimes w - w \otimes v$$

by (9.4).

10.4. **Proposition.** *Let Σ be a space with an action $K \curvearrowright \Sigma$ by a topological group, and let G be a topological group. Then there is a weak equivalence*

$$B(K \ltimes \mathrm{Map}(\Sigma, G)) \approx B\,\mathrm{Map}(\Sigma, G)_{hK}.$$

10.5. **Corollary.** *With these choices, we have a weak equivalence*

$$\mathcal{P}_0^\Sigma(F) \approx B((\mathrm{Aut}(L) \ltimes (\mathbb{T} \otimes L)) \ltimes \mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{T} \otimes L, G_{-\omega})),$$

i.e.,

$$\Omega(\mathcal{P}_0^\Sigma(F)) \approx \mathrm{Aut}(L) \ltimes (\mathbb{T} \otimes L) \ltimes \mathrm{Map}(\mathbb{T} \otimes L, G_{-\omega}).$$

10.6. **Proposition.** *Fix $\Sigma = \mathbb{T} \otimes L$ and $F = BG_{-\omega}$ as above, so that F has quadratic invariant $\phi(b) = \omega(b,b)$, with Hessian form β. With respect to the natural isomorphisms of* (10.2)*, we have the following.*

(1) *The group law on E is given by*

$$(f,c)\cdot(f',c') = (f+f', -\omega \circ (f \otimes f') \circ \mathrm{Cup}_* + c + c'), \qquad f, f' \in \mathrm{Hom}(L,B),\ c, c' \in C^\pm.$$

(Warning: In this formula, elements of L and $\mathrm{Hom}(L,B)$ are regarded as being in odd *degree.)*

(2) *The action of E on $\pi_2\mathcal{P}_0^\Sigma(F)$ is given by*

$$(f,c) \propto (v,b,g) = (v, b + f(v), g - \beta(b,f) - \omega(f(v),f) + c\Delta v).$$

(3) *The action of E on $\pi_3\mathcal{P}_0^\Sigma(F)$ is trivial.*

(4) *The action of* $\mathrm{Aut}(L) \subset \pi_1\mathcal{P}_0^\Sigma(F)$ *on each of the homotopy groups is*

$$\begin{aligned} A \propto (f,c) &= (fA^{-1}, c(\det A)^{-1}), && (f,c) \in \pi_1,\\ A \propto (v,b,g) &= (Av, b, gA^{-1}), && (v,b,g) \in \pi_2,\\ A \propto h &= h, && h \in \pi_3. \end{aligned}$$

(5) *The quadratic invariant of* $\mathcal{P}_0^\Sigma(F)$ *is*

$$\phi^\sharp(v,b,g) = \phi(b) + g(v).$$

Proof. This is read off from the calculations (9.10), (9.15), together with the relation between Whitehead and Samelson products (11.9), and the fact that Whitehead products $\pi_1 \times \pi_k \to \pi_k$ describe the action $\pi_1 \curvearrowright \pi_k$ (11.7). □

To recover (3.10), we choose coordinates.

Pick coordinates $L \approx \mathbb{Z}^2$, $B \approx \mathbb{Z}^d$, and $C = \mathbb{Z}^e$. Regard $\mathrm{Hom}(L,B) \approx \mathbb{Z}^{d\times 2}$ and $\mathrm{Hom}(L,C) \approx \mathbb{Z}^{e\times 2}$. Write $\phi(y)_\ell = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{ij} c_{ij}^\ell y_i y_j$ and $\omega(y,y')_\ell = \sum_{ij} d_{ij}^\ell y_i y'_j$ for $\ell = 1,\dots,e$.

Set $[\Sigma] := \mathrm{Mult}_*\big(e_1 \otimes e_2\big) \in \mathbb{Z}_\pm$ where $e_1, e_2 \in L$ are basis elements, determining identifications $\mathbb{Z}_\pm \approx \mathbb{Z} \approx \mathbb{Z}^\pm$. (In other words, the fundamental class $[\Sigma] \in H_2\Sigma$ is the dual with respect to the Kronecker pairing to $f_2 \smile f_1 \in H^2\Sigma$, where $(f_i, e_j) = \delta_{ij}$.)

The group law on $E = \mathrm{Hom}(L,B) \times C^\pm = \mathbb{Z}^{d\times 2} \times \mathbb{Z}^e$ is given by

$$(m',n') \cdot (m'',n'') = (m,n)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} m_{ij} &= m'_{ij} + m''_{ij},\\ n_\ell &= \sum_{i,j} d_{ij}^\ell (m'_{i1}m''_{i2} - m'_{i2}m''_{i1}) + n'_\ell + n''_\ell. \end{aligned}$$

The action $E \curvearrowright \pi_2 = L \times B \times \mathrm{Hom}(L,C) = \mathbb{Z}^2 \times \mathbb{Z}^d \times \mathbb{Z}^{e\times 2}$ is given by

$$(m,n) \propto (t,y,x) = (t, y', x')$$

with

$$\begin{aligned} y'_k &= y_k + m_{k1}t_1 + m_{k2}t_2,\\ x'_{\ell 1} &= x_{\ell 1} - \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}^\ell y_i m_{j1} - \sum_{i,j} d_{ij}^\ell (m_{i1}t_1 + m_{i2}t_2)m_{j1} - n_\ell t_2,\\ x'_{\ell 2} &= x_{\ell 2} - \sum_{i,j} c_{ij}^\ell y_i m_{j2} - \sum_{i,j} d_{ij}^\ell (m_{i1}t_1 + m_{i2}t_2)m_{j2} + n_\ell t_1. \end{aligned}$$

The action $\mathrm{Aut}(L) = GL_1(\mathbb{Z}^2) \curvearrowright E$ is given by

$$A \propto (m,n) = (mA^{-1}, n(\det A)^{-1}).$$

The action $GL_1(\mathbb{Z}^2) \curvearrowright \pi_2$ is given by

$$A \propto (t,y,x) = (At, y, xA^{-1}).$$

The quadratic invariant is given by

$$\phi_\ell^\sharp(t,y,x) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,j} c_{ij}^\ell + x_{\ell 1}t_1 + x_{\ell 2}t_2. \tag{10.7}$$

10.8. **Lemma.** *The quadratic function* $\phi^\sharp$ *of* (10.7) *satisfies the regularity condition of* (6.2).

Proof. It is straightforward to verify that the sequence $(\phi^\sharp)_1, \dots, (\phi^\sharp)_e$ of quadratic polynomials $(\phi^\sharp)_k = \frac{1}{2}\sum c_{ij} y_i y_j + (x_{\ell 1} t_1 + x_{\ell 2} t_2)$ in $\mathbb{Q}[t_1, t_2, y_1, \dots, y_d, x_{11}, x_{12}, \dots, x_{e1}, x_{e2}]$ is regular. (In fact, this can be checked after setting $y = 0$.) □

10.9. **Corollary.** *We have*

$$H^*(\mathcal{P}_1^\Sigma(F); \mathbb{Q}) \approx \mathbb{Q}[t_1, t_2, y_1, \dots, y_d, x_{11}, \dots, x_{e2}]/(\phi_1^\sharp(t, y, x), \dots, \phi_\ell^\sharp(t, y, x)).$$

11. Conventions

11.1. **Orientations.** Let $I = [0, 1]$. We use $S^n = I^n/\partial I^n$. We orient I^n, and thus S^n, using the Künneth map, e.g., using $I^n/\partial I^n \approx (I/\partial I)^{\wedge n}$. The boundary ∂I^n is homeomorphic to S^{n-1}, and its orientation is fixed by the boundary map in singular homology. When necessary, we take $(0, 0)$ as the base point of ∂I^n.

Examining the Eilenberg-Zilber map shows that the face $\{\, (t_1, \dots, t_n) \mid t_i = 1 \,\} \subset I^n$ receives a positive orientation when i is odd, and a negative orientation when i is even. In particular, we see that an orientation preserving equivalence $I/\partial I \to \partial I^2$ goes counterclockwise around the square; e.g., $(0, 0)$ to $(1, 0)$ to $(1, 1)$ to $(0, 1)$ to $(0, 0)$.

11.2. **Loops.** We compose paths in temporal order: thus $\gamma \cdot \delta$ is defined when $\gamma(1) = \delta(0)$.

The standard action of the fundamental group $\pi_1 X \curvearrowright \pi_p X$ is from the *left*. We write it as $\gamma \propto \alpha$, $\gamma \in \pi_1 X$ and $\alpha \in \pi_p X$. It is necessarily defined, in terms of the "balloon on a string" picture, by putting the *end* of the string $\gamma(1)$ at the basepoint of the p-sphere: i.e., via $S^p \to I \cup_{\{1\}} S^p \xrightarrow{(\gamma,\alpha)} X$.

With this convention, we may extend this action to a functor $\pi_p \colon \Pi_1 X \to \mathrm{Ab}$ from the fundamental groupoid, for $p \geq 2$.

We have for $k \geq 1$ a standard isomorphism

$$\nu \colon \pi_k X \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_{k-1} \Omega X,$$

defined so that $f \colon S^k \to X$ corresponds to $\nu f \colon S^{k-1} \to X$ given by $(\nu f)(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})(t) = f(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}, t)$. This convention matches the most lexically convenient convention for the tensor-hom adjunction, i.e., $\mathrm{Map}_*(X \wedge Y, Z) \approx \mathrm{Map}_*(X, \mathrm{Map}_*(Y, Z))$. In particular, the standard isomorphism $\mathrm{Map}_*(X \wedge S^p, Z) \approx \mathrm{Map}_*(X, \Omega^p Z)$ is determined in this way.

11.3. *Remark.* If instead we consider $\nu' \colon \pi_k X \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_{k-1} \Omega X$, so that $\nu(f)(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})(t) = f(t, x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})$, then we have $(\nu' f) \sim (-1)^{k-1}(\nu f)$, with the sign coming from the evident transposition $S^{k-1} \wedge S^1 \to S^1 \wedge S^{k-1}$. (The map ν' is the standard identification used in [Whi78], for instance.)

11.4. **Eilenberg-Mac Lane spaces.** For us, an Eilenberg-Mac Lane space consists of a based space K *equipped* with a choice of isomorphism $A \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_n K$ to its only non-trivial homotopy group. Such an object is unique up to canonical homotopy equivalence (and in fact, up to contractible choice), and so can be unambiguously denoted $K(A, n)$.

We thus obtain a canonical weak equivalence $\Omega K(A, n) \approx K(A, n-1)$, using the standard isomorphism $\nu \colon \pi_n \xrightarrow{\sim} \pi_{n-1}\Omega$ to fix the identification of the homotopy group.

The identification $\widetilde{H}^n(X, A) \approx \pi_0 \mathrm{Map}_*(X, K(A, n))$ is fixed in the usual way, via a choice of tautological class $\iota \in \widetilde{H}^n(K(A, n); A) \approx \mathrm{Hom}(H_n(K(A, n); A), A) \approx \mathrm{Hom}(\pi_n K(A, n), A)$ corresponding to the identity map of A. (Ultimately, this depends on the choice of the fundamental class in $H_n S^n$, which defines the Hurewicz map.)

Using the standard identification $\Omega^p K(A, n) \approx K(A, n-p)$, we obtain a standard natural isomorphism

$$\pi_p \mathrm{Map}(X, K(A, n)) \approx \pi_0 \mathrm{Map}(X, \Omega^p K(A, n)) \approx H^{n-p}(X; A).$$

Similarly, we obtain a natural suspension isomorphism

$$\widetilde{H}^{n-p}(X;A) \approx [X, \Omega^p K(A,n)]_* \approx [X \wedge S^p, K(A,n)]_* \approx \widetilde{H}^n(X \wedge S^p; A).$$

11.5. **Cup product.** The cup product $\smile\colon H^m(X;A) \otimes H^n(X;B) \to H^{m+n}(X; A \otimes B)$ is represented by a map $\smile\colon K(A,m) \wedge K(B,n) \to K(A \otimes B, m+n)$, characterized by the property that $\pi_m K(A,m) \otimes \pi_n K(B,n) \to \pi_{m+n} K(A \otimes B, m+n)$ induces the identity map of $A \otimes B$.

Let $\epsilon \in H^1 S^1$ be the tautological class, corresponding to the map $S^1 \to K(\mathbb{Z},1)$ sending the tautological class $\iota_1 \in \pi_1 S^1$ to $1 \in \mathbb{Z} = \pi_1 K(\mathbb{Z},1)$. The map

$$- \times \epsilon\colon \widetilde{H}^{n-1}(X;A) \to \widetilde{H}^n(X \wedge S^1; A)$$

coincides with the standard isomorphisms

$$[X, K(A,n-1)]_* \approx [X, \Omega K(A,n)]_* \approx [X \wedge S^1, K(A,n)]_*,$$

and thus with the suspension isomorphism described above.

More precisely: using our standard identifications $K(A,n-1) \approx \Omega K(A,n)$ and $H^k(X,B) \approx [X, K(B,k)]$, the composite

$$[X, K(A,n-1)] \approx [X, \Omega K(A,n)] \to [X \times S^1, K(A,n)]$$

coincides with $- \times \epsilon\colon H^{n-1}(X;A) \to H^n(X \times S^1; A)$, whereas the composite

$$[X, K(A,n-1)] \approx [X, \Omega K(A,n)] \to [S^1 \times X, K(A,n)]$$

coincides with $(-1)^{n-1}(\epsilon \times -)\colon H^{n-1}(X;A) \to H^n(S^1 \times X; A)$ (and not with $\epsilon \times -$ as one might naively think).

Cohomology operations. A based map $\psi\colon K(A,m) \to K(B,n)$ induces a cohomology operation $\psi\colon H^m(X;A) \to H^n(X;B)$. Taking loops gives a map $\Omega\psi\colon K(A,m-1) \to K(B,n-1)$ and a corresponding operation $\Omega\psi\colon H^{m-1}(X;A) \to H^{n-1}(X;B)$. The diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H^{m-1}(X;A) & \xrightarrow{\Omega\psi} & H^{n-1}(X;B) \\ {\scriptstyle \times\epsilon}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle \times\epsilon} \\ H^m(X \wedge S^1; A) & \xrightarrow[\psi]{} & H^n(X \wedge S^1; B) \end{array}$$

commutes, i.e.,

$$\Omega\psi(x) \times \epsilon = \psi(x \times \epsilon).$$

Note that the analogous diagram involving $\epsilon\times$ only commutes *up to sign* depending on $m-n$, i.e., $(-1)^{n-1}\epsilon \times \Omega\psi(x) = \psi((-1)^{m-1}\epsilon \times x)$.

A based map $\psi\colon K(A,p) \wedge K(B,q) \to K(C,n)$ determines a cohomology operation $\psi\colon H^p(X;A) \times H^q(X;B) \to H^n(X;C)$ in two variables. Using the evident maps $\Omega X \wedge Y \to \Omega(X \wedge Y)$ and $X \wedge \Omega Y \to \Omega(X \wedge Y)$, we can loop ψ in either of its two inputs, obtaining

$$\Omega_1\psi\colon K(A,p-1) \wedge K(B,q) \to K(C,n-1), \quad \Omega_2\psi\colon K(A,p) \wedge K(B,q-1) \to K(C,n-1).$$

The relation between these are given by

$$\Omega_1\psi(x,y) \times \epsilon = (-1)^{|y|}\psi(x \times \epsilon, y), \quad \Omega_2\psi(x,y) \times \epsilon = \psi(x, y \times \epsilon),$$

11.6. **Groups and loop spaces.** Let G be a topological group, and let $EG \to BG$ be the universal principal bundle. We may regard EG as having a *left* action by G.

For a based space (X, x_0), the path fibration $PX \to X$ may be defined by

$$PX = \{\, \gamma \in \mathrm{Map}([0,1], X) \mid \gamma(0) = x_0 \,\}.$$

With this definition (with the free end of the path at $t = 1$), the composition law on ΩX extends naturally to an "action" $*\colon \Omega X \times PX \to PX$.

Taking $X = BG$, any lift $EG \to P(BG)$ of the two projections gives rise to a weak equivalence $G \to \Omega G$, which furthermore is an H-space map.

11.7. **Whitehead products.** The Whitehead product $[-,-]\colon \pi_p \times \pi_q \to \pi_{p+q-1}$ is defined via precomposition with

$$\big(\partial I^{p+q} \to \partial I^{p+q}/\sim\big) = \big(I^p \times \partial I^q \cup \partial I^p \times I^q \to I^p/\partial I^p \vee I^q/\partial I^q\big),$$

using the orientation convention of (11.1).

- If $p = q = 1$, the Whitehead product is the loop commutator $[\gamma, \delta] = \gamma\delta\gamma^{-1}\delta^{-1}$.
- If $\gamma \in \pi_1$ and $\alpha \in \pi_{q\geq 2}$, then the Whitehead product is $[\gamma, \alpha] = (\gamma \propto \alpha) - \alpha$.
- On inputs in dimensions ≥ 2, $[-,-]$ is bilinear.
- In general, we have the commutation relation $[\beta, \alpha] = (-1)^{pq}[\alpha, \beta]$ for $\alpha \in \pi_p$ and $\beta \in \pi_q$.
- We only need to care about the cases when $p, q \leq 2$:

$$\begin{aligned} [\delta, \gamma] &= [\gamma, \delta]^{-1}, && \gamma, \delta \in \pi_1, \\ [\alpha, \gamma] &= [\gamma, \alpha], && \gamma \in \pi_1,\ \alpha \in \pi_2, \\ [\beta, \alpha] &= [\alpha, \beta], && \alpha, \beta \in \pi_1. \end{aligned}$$

These conventions agree with those of [Whi78, §7.4][7].

11.8. **Samelson products.** For a topological group G, the Samelson product $\langle -, - \rangle\colon \pi_p \times \pi_q \to \pi_{p+q}$ is defined in the evident way using the commutator map $G \wedge G \to G$ sending $(x, y) \mapsto xyx^{-1}y^{-1}$.

The definition admits an extension to loop spaces. For such spaces, the Samelson product agrees with the Whitehead product up to a sign. In fact, for $\alpha \in \pi_p X$ and $\beta \in \pi_q X$ we have that

$$\nu[\alpha, \beta] = (-1)^{p-1}\langle \nu(\alpha), \nu(\beta) \rangle, \tag{11.9}$$

according to [Whi78, 7.10][8]. In particular, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \nu(\gamma), \nu(\delta) \rangle &= \nu[\gamma, \delta], && \gamma, \delta \in \pi_1, \\ \langle \nu(\gamma), \nu(\alpha) \rangle &= \nu[\gamma, \alpha], && \gamma \in \pi_1,\ \alpha \in \pi_2, \\ \langle \nu(\alpha), \nu(\gamma) \rangle &= -\nu[\alpha, \gamma] = -\nu[\gamma, \alpha], && \gamma \in \pi_1,\ \alpha \in \pi_2, \\ \langle \nu(\alpha), \nu(\beta) \rangle &= -\nu[\alpha, \beta], && \alpha, \beta \in \pi_2. \end{aligned}$$

We note the commutation relation

$$\langle \alpha, \beta \rangle = -(-1)^{pq}\langle \beta, \alpha \rangle, \qquad \alpha \in \pi_p G,\ \beta \in \pi_q G.$$

[7] Although he defines the products using disks, not cubes. It is also unclear to me where he puts his basepoint; when $p, q \geq 2$, the choice of basepoint "shouldn't matter".

[8] In fact, his formula uses ν' instead of ν. But this makes no difference, as can be shown using (11.3).

References

[And00] Matthew Ando, *Power operations in elliptic cohomology and representations of loop groups*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **352** (2000), no. 12, 5619–5666.

[And03] ———, *The sigma orientation for analytic circle-equivariant elliptic cohomology*, Geom. Topol. **7** (2003), 91–153 (electronic).

[AB02] Matthew Ando and Maria Basterra, *The Witten genus and equivariant elliptic cohomology*, Math. Z. **240** (2002), no. 4, 787–822.

[AM07] Matthew Ando and Haynes Miller, *Ian Grojnowski's "delocalized equivariant elliptic cohomology"*, Elliptic cohomology, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 342, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007, pp. 111–113.

[BBM85] Paul Baum, Jean-Luc Brylinski, and Robert MacPherson, *Cohomologie équivariante délocalisée*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **300** (1985), no. 17, 605–608 (French, with English summary).

[EE67] C. J. Earle and J. Eells, *The diffeomorphism group of a compact Riemann surface*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **73** (1967), 557–559.

[Gan07] Nora Ganter, *Stringy power operations in Tate K-theory* (2007), available at `arXiv:math/0701565`.

[Gan13] ———, *Power operations in orbifold Tate K-theory* (2013), available at `arXiv:1301.2754`.

[Gan14] ———, *The elliptic Weyl character formula*, Compos. Math. **150** (2014), no. 7, 1196–1234.

[Gan15] ———, *Categorical tori* (2015), available at `arXiv:1406.7046`.

[Gro07] I. Grojnowski, *Delocalised equivariant elliptic cohomology*, Elliptic cohomology, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 342, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007, pp. 114–121.

[Kit09] Nitu Kitchloo, *Dominant K-theory and integrable highest weight representations of Kac-Moody groups*, Adv. Math. **221** (2009), no. 4, 1191–1226.

[Kit14] ———, *Quantization of the modular functor and equivariant elliptic cohomology* (2014), available at `arXiv:1407.6698v2`.

[Loo76] Eduard Looijenga, *Root systems and elliptic curves*, Invent. Math. **38** (1976/77), no. 1, 17–32. MR0466134

[Lur07] Jacob Lurie, *A survey of elliptic cohomology* (2007), available at `http://www.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/survey.pdf`.

[Lur11] ———, *Derived Algebraic Geometry IX: Closed immersions* (2011), available at `http://ww.math.harvard.edu/~lurie/papers/DAG-IX.pdf`.

[Mum70] David Mumford, *Abelian varieties*, Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics, No. 5, Published for the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay; Oxford University Press, London, 1970.

[Por15] Mauro Porta, *Derived complex analytic geometry I: GAGA theorems* (2015), available at `arXiv:1506.09042`.

[Ros01] Ioanid Rosu, *Equivariant elliptic cohomology and rigidity*, Amer. J. Math. **123** (2001), no. 4, 647–677. MR1844573

[Seg88] Graeme Segal, *Elliptic cohomology (after Landweber-Stong, Ochanine, Witten, and others)*, Astérisque **161-162** (1988), Exp. No. 695, 4, 187–201 (1989). Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 1987/88.

[ST11] Stephan Stolz and Peter Teichner, *Supersymmetric field theories and generalized cohomology*, Mathematical foundations of quantum field theory and perturbative string theory, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., vol. 83, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2011, pp. 279–340.

[Whi78] George W. Whitehead, *Elements of homotopy theory*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 61, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1978.

Department of Mathematics, University of Illinois, Urbana, IL
E-mail address: `rezk@math.uiuc.edu`